1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	No. 1:09-cr-10243-MLW
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	RYAN HARRIS
10	
11	* * * * * *
12	
13	For Jury Trial Before: Chief Judge Mark L. Wolf
14	onioi saage nain it meii
15	
16	United States District Court District of Massachusetts (Boston.)
17	One Courthouse Way Boston, Massachusetts 02210
18	Monday, February 27, 2012
19	
20	*****
21	
22	REPORTER: RICHARD H. ROMANOW, RPR Official Court Reporter
23	United States District Court One Courthouse Way, Room 5200, Boston, MA 02210
24	bulldog@richromanow.com
25	

```
APPEARANCES
 1
 2
 3
    ADAM J. BOOKBINDER, ESQ.
       United States Attorney's Office
 4
       John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse
       One Courthouse Way, Suite 9200
 5
       Boston, Massachusetts 02210
       (617) 748-3112
 6
       E-mail: Adam.bookbinder@usdoj.gov
   and
 7
    MONA SEDKY, ESQ.
       U.S. Department of Justice
       601 D. Street, N.W.
8
       Washington, D.C. 20530
 9
       (202) 353-4304
       Email: Mona.sedky@usdoj.gov
10
       For the United States of America
11
12
    CHARLES P. McGINTY, ESQ.
       Federal Public Defender Office
       District of Massachusetts
13
       51 Sleeper Street, 5th Floor
       Boston, Massachusetts 02210
14
       (617) 223-8080
       E-mail: Charles mcginty@fd.org
15
       For the defendant
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	I N D E X
2	
3	WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
4	
5	NATHAN HANSHAW
6	By Mr. Bookbinder: 24 91
7	By Mr. McGinty: 50 92
8	
9	PETROZZIELLO MOTION
10	
11	
12	EXHIBITS
13	DVIII D T
14	EXHIBIT 11 43
15	EXHIBIT 12 46
16	EXHIBIT 27 48
17	EXHIBIT 34 88
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Begins 9:00 a.m.) THE CLERK: Criminal matter 09-10243, the 3 United States of America versus Ryan Harris. The Court 4 5 is in session. You may be seated. THE COURT: Good morning. Would counsel 6 7 please identify themselves for the record. 8 MR. BOOKBINDER: Good morning, your Honor. Adam Bookbinder and Mona Sedky for the United States. 9 10 MR. McGINTY: Your Honor, Charles McGinty and 11 Christine Demaso for Ryan Harris. Mr. Harris is here at 12 counsel table, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 Since we recessed Friday, I have received the 15 government's revised exhibits, 3 and 22, the defendant's 16 supplemental motion in limine regarding Exhibit 3, the 17 statement that the defendant does not intend to testify, 18 and filed last evening, the defendant's objections to 19 the testimony by Special Agent Jason Ryan, and to 20 Exhibit 25. Is there anything else I should have received and 21 22 read? 23 MR. McGINTY: There is not, your Honor. 24 MR. BOOKBINDER: No. 25 THE COURT: All right. The jurors are all

here. I want to finish Mr. Larosa and get through
Mr. Hanshaw before, as I say, having further substantial
-- that there needs to be substantial discussion
concerning Special Agent Russell's testimony, which I
think is substantially addressed in the motions in
limine, and that implicates the chats from Mr. T and
MooreR, is that correct?

MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And there may be some other exhibits.

And then I thought the issues were the same with regard to Mr. Ryan and I actually perhaps misunderstood. I had asked whether there were problems with the summaries and maybe there were not problems with regard to their accuracy, but there are other issues. But I'm inclined to take those up, as I said, after we hear from Mr. Hanshaw. We can either have the jury wait or conceivably send them home for the day and finish the testimony tomorrow, if we're going to be a while on the evidentiary issues and aren't going to finish today, in any event.

Well, Mr. McGinty, what's the -- I've read it, but what's the essence of the problem from the defendant's perspective with regard to Ryan?

MR. McGINTY: Your Honor, the government had,

in an exhibit, which is Number 25 appended to the motion, it listed a number of calculations, total revenue, total customers, um, they list the total payments to Phillips and total payments to Lindquist, which are already in evidence and are not in dispute here, and then they had a figure of total retained by Harris for personal use.

The total revenue figure is not probative of any issue in the case except whether Harris was running a for-profit business. The government already has, even though we didn't view it as relevant, um, they already have a stipulation to a PayPal record which lists as revenues through PayPal alone the sum of about \$776,000. And the reason we stipulated to that and it didn't get in the way, so to speak, is something that we thought the government didn't need to have as part of its case, is that we're not going to be standing in the way of a simple, in effect, gesture that, yes, this was a for-profit business. So we stipulated to that.

What the government wants to put in beyond that is, um, a calculation of revenues based in part on GoDaddy records which have not been the subject of a stipulation. They want to reach a figure relating to Harris' personal profit from this. Um, it's one thing to say it's a for-profit business, but it's another

thing to calculate what his perceived benefit of that would be. Um, the government --

assumed that this starts with total revenue and the only expenses are payments to Phillips and Lindquist and whoever did the chart must have been taking out some other unidentified expenses.

MR. MCGINTY: I think that's right and that's -- see, in terms of what the government wants, the government wants it's for-profit, it's got that, it wants to get in the number of customers. Um, Harris, in his book, has said that he has an excess of 15,000 customers. So that's in as well. So the revenues of the business generally, the scope of the business already in. Um, this chalk has a way of --

THE COURT: It's not a chalk. They want it as an exhibit.

MR. McGINTY: Well, they want it as an exhibit. We had objected to it. This summary does not fairly address any issue that's in dispute here and creates a misimpression --

THE COURT: Well, but they -- they don't have to -- I mean, even if you stipulated to some of these things, under *Old Chief* and its progeny, they can offer some evidence of it. And I thought that personal profit

to Mr. Harris would be relevant on the conspiracy charge that's showing he has a stake in the enterprise, the **Falcone** concept, and in the scheme to defraud he's got a motive to engage in the scheme, he wants to be rich, and, um, is making money.

So I don't -- I -- at the moment, I want to hear from the government and then we'll come back to it, but, um, I don't think it's irrelevant and I don't think that if the chart were otherwise admissible, Rule 403 would operate to exclude it. But there does seem to be a missing number there or a business-expense-type thing.

But, here, let me hear from Ms. Sedky.

MS. SEDKY: Thank you. Um, we were planning on eliciting testimony from Special Agent Ryan about the types of business expenses that he extracted from the total revenue number to get to the monies that were retained by Mr. Harris. Our position is that the PayPal document is not a substitute for the \$1.1 million revenue figure, that PayPal pay period is over a 10-year period, whereas Mr. Ryan's analysis is over a 5-year period and it shows \$1.1 million in revenue.

THE COURT: What five years?

MS. SEDKY: It's '05 to '09, which paints a dramatically different picture about the scope of this enterprise. So that's the total revenue that the

GoDaddy -- I'm sorry, the PayPal number is not a substitute, it does not accurately reflect how big this enterprise was.

THE COURT: And if the summary comes in -- well, the argument is that the GoDaddy records aren't authenticated. How do you respond to that?

MS. SEDKY: The only number in the chart that relies, in part, on the GoDaddy numbers is the 10,000 user figure. We are happy to substitute that with a 15,000 user figure and use the defendant's own admissions for that, if that's --

THE COURT: Well, no, I pointed this out to you before. You can't summarize testimony in a charge if you want it as an exhibit. Remember when I told you about *Muffleman* and the difference between the chalk that can be shown to the jury and a summary that has to summarize documents. I didn't think I needed to refresh myself on this, and I will before I rule on it today, but if this is going to be a chart, then it has to summarize a set of -- well, if it's going to be admitted as an exhibit and not just be used to illustrate testimony, it's going to be admitted under Rule 901, and not just be an educational device, then there would have to be voluminous records and this has to summarize them. If you're conflating testimony or something

that's in a book with something that's, you know, found in the voluminous records, then I'm not going to admit it.

MS. SEDKY: Well, Mr. Ryan will testify that he has seen on the website, there's a posting on the TCNISO website, it's Exhibit 2, Page 13, and it's -- on the website Mr. Harris says, "We have had over 15,000 orders as of May of 2008."

THE COURT: That's fine. It can go on a chalk, perhaps. It's not something that, on the First Circuit precedent that I brought to your attention weeks ago, um, is properly part of the summary.

MS. SEDKY: In terms of the number of customers, Mr. Ryan will also be able to testify that the PayPal records, um, what happened was in basically '07 to '09, that's the only time period where GoDaddy came into the picture. The PayPal records themselves can also substantiate that there were thousands of customers. But we can leave out the 10,000, we can redact it before the jury sees it, without a problem.

THE COURT: So you say other than the number of customers, all of this, is it from the GoDaddy records?

MS. SEDKY: Yeah, the agent will testify that in the way he calculated this was the primary bank

account that the defendant used was Washington Mutual and PayPal he used as what was de facto another bank account, a checking account. So the money in gets calculated through a combination of the PayPal account, of which you saw the \$775,000 as an example, and Washington Mutual. There were essentially -- he'll testify that there were two ways that people could buy products, they could use credit cards or they could pay through PayPal. So if they paid through PayPal, the PayPal records showed the revenues. If they paid by credit card, there were merchant account transfers into the Washington Mutual account that were the credit card purchases. So he doesn't rely on GoDaddy to come up with the \$1.1 million.

THE COURT: Well, when I -- I pointed out to you weeks ago, well before trial, the distinction and interaction between Rules of Evidence 1006 and 611, what can go in as a summary and what can go in as a chalk -- it gets a letter, but doesn't go in the jury room.

Did you read the cases I brought to your attention?

MS. SEDKY: We did. But I have to confess, I don't have them off the top of my head, but there were two of them.

THE COURT: Well, when I rule on this I'm

going to analyze it in the context of that 1 2 jurisprudence, and probably Milkowicz --3 MS. SEDKY: Well, your Honor --4 THE COURT: Can I finish? -- most 5 prominently, but at the moment I don't think these 6 figures are irrelevant, um, but I'm skeptical about 7 whether they'll go in as an exhibit as opposed to a way 8 of illustrating the testimony during the trial. We'll talk about it more further. 9 10 MS. SEDKY: Your Honor, we'll offer them as a 11 chalk, just to simplify matters. 12 THE COURT: Okay, that simplifies it. 13 And, Mr. McGinty? 14 MR. McGINTY: Um, the stipulations here are 15 important. We did not stipulate to the PayPal records. 16 We did not stipulate to the GoDaddy records. The 17 stipulations are to a PayPal account summary for Harris, 18 which is reflected in the documents here, it's a single 19 page. We did not stipulate to the authenticity of the 20 GoDaddy records. Now, what the agent presumably does is he culls from the GoDaddy records, the record purchases, 21 22 he presumably culls from the PayPal records the sum of 23 the activity. Um, he has to go to the Washington Mutual 24 records, which, by the way, were not stipulated to. 25 Washington Mutual Bank account agreement is stipulated

to, the content of the records is not, for the precise reason that we took the position that this was irrelevant, and frankly we maintain that it's irrelevant. Um, whether Harris garners profit from this or not, it was a for-profit endeavor, um, and if that's the predicate that's relevant here, they have that predicate. But if what they're trying to do is build a house where the foundation is necessarily a stipulation or a witness, they have neither.

THE COURT: Well, as I said, I had asked you repeatedly whether there's any problem with the summaries and I wasn't alerted to this one previously, so we're going to finish Mr. Hanshaw and come back to this. But the question -- and this is helpful and it comes into sharper focus, is does the government have to show that this is a summary of admissible documents, and if it's just for the purpose actually of Rule 611, then they might not need these records. But if the documents are not admissible, they're not admitted, then it's hard for the defendant to challenge them and particularly for the jury to decide if the testimony is reliable.

(Pause.)

THE COURT: And, again, this is something of a preview of what we'll discuss further after Hanshaw, um, but are the objections to Agent Ryan's -- I'm sorry,

Agent Russell's testimony -- well, they include the Mr. T and MooreR chats, right, and that's part of what you want to get in through Mr. Russell?

MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Have I heard any testimony regarding Mr. T or MooreR yet? I thought I was going to hear some testimony, for example, that, Mr. T, you know, was a friend of Mr. Harris's, but at the moment I don't recall whether that was presented.

MR. BOOKBINDER: I believe where we left last on these motions in limine, well, I think what we had told the Court was that after speaking to our witnesses in preparation, we didn't expect that there was going to be testimony that was going to be terribly helpful and that what we're relying on was Mr. -- to the extent -- and I think it's, I guess, probably MooreR, and I'd have to look, where we are trying to get in his statements, and not just Mr. Harris's statements, but what we were relying on to show that MooreR was a co-conspirator, for example, was the things that Mr. Harris said to him in those chats and that was what we were relying on and that we were not going to get testimony at trial that was helpful of this and that turned out to be the case.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll refresh

myself on that. I did think that Mr. Harris's -- well, and the other general principle is I can rely on information that has not been presented to the jury, A, and, B, it doesn't even have to be admissible, as long as it's not privileged, in making admissibility determinations. So we'll come back to this, too.

Are there forum posts implicated with Mr. Russell?

MR. BOOKBINDER: There are. There are relatively few of them left and I'm guessing that we can move -- and there will generally be -- the Court will rule on them categorically, so I don't think it's going to take a lot more time, we've gone through these in depth, but we will need to look at them again, I think.

THE COURT: All right. We'll do that after Hanshaw.

And I actually, as I was working on this over the weekend, I don't have the whole book that Mr. Harris wrote, I just have the excerpts. And one of the things that I'm going to have to focus on is what evidence there is that is necessary to get -- to trading MACs, to get them from outside somebody's own neighborhood. Is there anything in the book about that?

MR. BOOKBINDER: No, your Honor. The book focuses on uncapping, not on MAC trading and outright

```
theft of service.
 1
           To the extent the Court would like it, we'll leave
 2
 3
     the original book here, which we'll use as the original
 4
     exhibit, and we can certainly pass that up.
 5
                THE COURT: Well, for present purposes I think
     that's sufficient.
 6
 7
                (Pause.)
8
                THE COURT: All right. Well, I continue to be
     skeptical about the admissibility of posts, but we can
 9
10
     discuss that later. I'm still inclined to conditionally
11
     admit the chats.
12
           All right. When we left off -- oh, excuse me.
13
     When we left off on Friday, um, we were dealing with an
14
     issue of two driver's licenses with Mr. Larosa's
15
     picture, right?
16
                MR. McGINTY: That's exactly right, your
17
     Honor.
18
                THE COURT: What's the relevance of the
19
     licenses?
20
                MR. McGINTY: The relevance is his identity.
21
     Um, one of them is an application for a Mass. ID that --
22
                THE COURT: Here, do you have copies for me?
23
                MR. McGINTY: I do. I have the exhibits
24
     here.
25
                (Passes up.)
```

```
MR. McGINTY: These are B and F. These are
 1
     the originals. They're marked for identification.
 2
 3
                THE COURT: All right.
 4
           One is "Jose L. Larosa," the other has the name
 5
     "Jose Dellarosa," and they have slightly different
     Social Security numbers, correct?
 6
 7
                MR. McGINTY: That's precisely right.
 8
                THE COURT: All right. So what's the
     relevance?
 9
10
                MR. McGINTY: The relevance is to his
11
     identity.
12
                THE COURT: Well -- are you seeking to admit
     the two documents?
13
14
                MR. McGINTY: I am.
                THE COURT: And what rule authorizes that?
15
16
                MR. McGINTY: These are, um -- under 803, your
17
     Honor, these are records that are public records. These
18
     are records of matters observed personally by the duties
19
     imposed by law, 8038.
20
                THE COURT: I'm not sure. I'm skeptical about
21
     whether that's right. But you may have another basis
22
     for getting them in, um, subject to Rule 403.
23
           But what's the government's say?
24
                MS. SEDKY: Your Honor, we object to this
25
     whole line of questioning and certainly the
```

admissibility of extrinsic evidence.

Mr. Dellarosa, or Mr. Larosa, has been using a slightly more, I think, perhaps Hispanic or ethnic version of the same name. It is not an alias. It is not uncommon for someone, who has moved here from another country, to slightly change their name from "Dellarosa" to "Larosa." And to the extent that there is a difference in the Social Security numbers, it appears to be one number which has been transposed, perhaps accidentally. So we think this is not probative of Mr. Larosa's veracity or truthfulness.

And in terms of getting in those two documents per under 8033, these are not certified, we have not stipulated to the authenticity of the documents, there has been no foundation laid that they were obtained by a government agency, and, um, we object to the use of the questions and the documents themselves.

THE COURT: Well, let's talk this through just a bit. One, when you say you want to know who he is, and I think Ms. Sedky is right that these are essentially two versions of the same name, um, do you want these in to challenge his credibility? What's the relevance? You want to know who he is. Why do you want to know who he is?

MR. MCGINTY: Well, I think that when a person

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

raises their right hand and affirms who they are in his testimony, um, and if there's an issue of his identity, your Honor, then we would move to strike his testimony. THE COURT: Well, I doubt I would do that. Um, here's, I think, the proper analytical framework, although I will say I didn't focus on 8033 -- um, but under 8038, the set of requirements. Um, one, if this was only to challenge Larosa's credibility under Rule 608(b), then no extrinsic evidence would be permissible, both licenses, E and F, would not go into evidence. However, if it's for some other purpose, extrinsic evidence is permitted subject to Rule 403. There's actually a Supreme Court case and a First Circuit case that are helpful on this. The Supreme Court case is Abel, 469 U.S. 45, the First Circuit case is Winchenback, 197 F.3d 548 at 557 to 558, which relates to prior inconsistent statements. And Winchenback, as well as Abel, teach that if a -- if there's evidence of a prior statement, um -- you know, evidence of a prior inconsistent statement that is inconsistent with what was said in court, um, is admissible under Rule 613(b). If there's -- so, you know, arguably if he gave one name "Larosa" and this says "Dellarosa," then arguably they're inconsistent because he said his name was "Larosa."

He hasn't testified about a Social Security number and it's not inconsistent with that. I mean, there's no testimony for the Social Security number to be inconsistent with. It's also not clear whether he gave an incorrect Social Security number or somebody made a mistake. And I have to do the Rule 403 balancing. So far I thought that Mr. Larosa actually was pretty good for the defendant because he didn't go trade MAC addresses, he drove from Dorchester to Roxbury to get his, which is evidence of a lack of interdependence.

So there's not a material -- as I read this, it's not like he's saying "Smith" and "Jones," as I understand it, for Spanish names, you know, these are essentially different versions of the same name.

MR. McGINTY: Well, rather than duly complicate things, my only cross, again, is on this issue and I would, um, under the circumstances, withdraw that cross and conclude my cross-examination.

THE COURT: All right. Just continue -- well, when you say "withdraw," you mean you're not any longer seeking the admission of these documents?

MR. McGINTY: Correct. And since that's the only subject that I could raise on cross, if I withdraw that line of questioning, um --

THE COURT: What do you mean by withdraw the

```
line of questioning, do you want me to instruct the jury
 1
     to disregard the testimony about the licenses?
 2
 3
                MR. McGINTY: No, so far there has been no
     testimony about the licenses. What he has done is he
 4
 5
     has looked at a photograph and said, "That's me," and
     he's looked at documents and said, "That's me," so
 6
 7
     there's no content -- I mean, my only cross so far has
8
     introduced no content to the jury whatsoever.
                THE COURT: All right. I just wanted to
 9
10
     understand.
11
           Okay. Do you have any further questions for the
12
     witness?
13
                MR. McGINTY: I don't, your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right. Is there going to be
14
15
     any redirect?
16
                MS. SEDKY: May I have a moment, your Honor?
17
                THE COURT: Sure.
18
                (Pause.)
19
                MS. SEDKY: I think we have nothing further.
20
     And if the Court would allow us, we'll just excuse
     Mr. Larosa.
21
22
                THE COURT: No, I think I'll excuse him.
23
                (Laughter.)
24
                MS. SEDKY: Oh, okay, that's fine.
25
                THE COURT: How long do you expect Mr. Hanshaw
```

```
to be?
 1
 2
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, no more than 45 minutes,
 3
     your Honor.
 4
                THE COURT: All right. Let's bring in
 5
     Mr. Larosa and have Mr. Hanshaw right on deck.
                (Pause.)
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Oh, actually, that's a good
8
     point. Here, I'll tell Mr. Larosa he's excused. Okay.
 9
                (Mr. Larosa enters.)
10
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Larosa, your
11
     testimony is complete. You can go home. All right?
12
     Thank you very much.
13
                THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
14
                (Witness steps down.)
15
                THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring Mr. Hanshaw
     into the box.
16
17
                (Witness takes stand.)
18
                THE COURT: Am I correct that there are no
19
     foreseeable issues regarding Mr. Hanshaw's testimony?
20
                MR. McGINTY: I think that's correct, your
     Honor.
21
22
                THE COURT: Okay.
23
                MR. McGINTY: Um, the only thing I would say,
24
     your Honor, is I would anticipate there would be
25
     objections with respect to the forum issue.
```

THE COURT: To the what? 1 MR. McGINTY: To the forum issue and the 2 3 questions in the nature of inquiries about forum. So I just want the Court to be aware of it. 4 5 THE COURT: What do you mean by "the forum"? MR. McGINTY: Um, the posts, there's an issue 6 7 about -- the government plans to elicit testimony 8 relating to forum posts. There may be issues that arise in that context. We want to alert the Court that that 9 10 may be a primary area of contest. 11 MR. BOOKBINDER: In light of where the Court 12 remembers our discussion on this issue last week, that 13 unless the Court has had a change of heart, my 14 understanding was that we're not to elicit any 15 substantive statements. 16 THE COURT: I haven't really focused on --17 well, my thinking hasn't changed because you've given me 18 many other things to think about and this is not the 19 only thing I've been doing. 20 MR. BOOKBINDER: That's fine, your Honor. So 21 we won't elicit any statements about posts. 22 THE COURT: Fine. We'll get the jury. 23 (Jury enters, 10:45 a.m.) 24 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, good 25 morning. Welcome back. Um, some issues arose over the

weekend, as often happens when people have time to think 1 about things, and I've been dealing with that. It turns 2 3 out that the parties have no further questions for 4 Mr. Larosa. So he's been excused. And now you're going 5 to be hearing the next witness. 6 My goal, I think, will be to finish the testimony 7 of this witness before we take a break and we'll see 8 where we are. I have some more issues I have to discuss with the lawyers. It's possible I'll send you home 9 10 early today, but we'll see. Okay? 11 The witness should stand and be sworn. 12 (NATHAN HANSHAW, sworn.) 13 \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 14 15 NATHAN HANSHAW 16 \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BOOKBINDER: 19 Would you state your name and spell your last name 20 for the record, please. Nathan Hanshaw, H-A-N-S-H-A-W. 21 Α. 22 Q. How old are you, Mr. Hanshaw? 23 20 years old.

THE COURT: Mr. Hanshaw, pull that microphone

a little closer to you, please, and speak into it loudly

24

25

```
and clearly, because your audience is in the back row of
 1
 2
     the jury. Okay?
 3
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
 4
         Mr. Hanshaw, where did you grow up?
     Q.
 5
     Α.
         In Athol, Massachusetts.
 6
         Athol?
     Q.
 7
         Yes.
     Α.
8
     Q.
         Where is that?
         Um, west of Worcester.
10
         At some point did you move out of Athol?
11
     Α.
         Yes, I did.
         Where did you move to?
12
13
     Α.
         Worcester.
     Q. When was that?
14
15
         Approximately 2004, 2005.
16
         When you moved to Worcester, what kind of place did
17
     you live in?
18
         An apartment complex.
     Α.
19
         Who did you live there with?
20
         My mother, my father, and my brother.
21
         When you moved to Worcester and lived in that
     Q.
22
     apartment with your family, did you initially have
     internet access?
23
24
     A. Yes.
```

Q. What kind of internet access did you have?

25

- 1 A. Dial-up.
- 2 Q. At that point, in 2004, 2005, was the internet
- 3 something you used a lot?
- 4 A. Yeah, it was.
- 5 Q. At some point did you go to the website
- 6 "tcniso.net"?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. What caused you to go to that website?
- 9 A. Um, a search engine, um, Google. I was looking for
- 10 ways to get free high-speed internet.
- 11 Q. Why were you looking for free internet?
- MR. McGINTY: Objection.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14 A. Because my internet was to be cut off.
- 15 Q. When you say it was to be cut off, why were you
- 16 going to lose internet access?
- 17 A. Um, my father was going to quit paying for it.
- 18 Q. So you went to Google and what did you look for in
- 19 Google?
- 20 A. Um, ways to get free internet.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And that led you to the TCNISO website?
- 22 A. Yes, it did.
- 23 Q. Approximately when was this?
- 24 A. I believe 2004, early 2005.
- 25 Q. Did you get any software products from that website?

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What was the first product that you got?
- 3 A. The firmware Sigma 1.3.
- 4 Q. Did you buy that?
- 5 A. No, I did not.
- 6 Q. How did you get it then from the website?
- 7 A. It was freeware available with a download.
- 8 Q. And did you do that, did you download it from that
- 9 website?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. Did you later get other software products from that
- 12 | website?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. Which other ones?
- 15 A. A software called "One Step Zup," um --
- 16 Q. Let me stop you right there. I'm sorry. What was
- 17 | that name again?
- 18 | A. "One Step Zup."
- 19 Q. "One Step Zup"?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. How do you spell "Zup"?
- 22 A. Z-U-P.
- 23 Q. Okay. All right. Go on.
- 24 A. Um, also Sigma X.
- 25 THE COURT: Excuse me. Just one moment.

```
(Pause.)
 1
                THE COURT: I'm sorry. Why don't you go
 2
 3
     ahead.
                Actually, why don't you ask that question one
 4
 5
     more time.
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Sure.
 6
 7
     Q. So I think you mentioned that you'd gotten Sigma
8
     1.3, something called One Step Zup, and Sigma X?
     A. Yes.
 9
10
     Q. Were there other pieces of software that you got
11
     from that website?
     A. Yes, um, "CoaxThief," "DefileThief," "SourceCat,"
12
     which is called "BlackCat."
13
14
     Q. Okay. Let me stop you there again. What was the
15
     first name you said before "BlackCat"?
        "SourceCat," that was just the, um, I guess official
16
17
     name of the software.
     Q. "SourceCat"?
18
         "SourceCat," but it's part of BlackCat.
19
20
     Q. All right. Let's take these in pieces.
21
           That BlackCat software, how did you get that?
         Um, the TCNISO website.
22
     Α.
23
         How is it -- was that available free?
24
         Um, there was a freeware version, but you had to
25
     register, um, a BlackCat key to be able to download it.
```

- 1 Q. Did you have a BlackCat key?
- 2 | A. I did.
- 3 Q. And how did you get that?
- A. Um, Christopher Watts, an employee of TCNISO, he had
- 5 sent me a list of keys and names.
- 6 Q. When you say "TCNISO," is that the name you use for
- 7 "TCNISO," that's how you pronounce it?
- 8 A. Yeah, that's how I pronounce it.
- 9 Q. Right. So you say --
- THE COURT: What's a key?
- 11 THE WITNESS: It allows you to download
- 12 further specific things. It allowed me to download a
- copy of Sigma X and BlackCat software from the TCN
- 14 website.
- 15 | Q. Is it some kind of a code that you have to punch in?
- 16 A. It's a code that I had to punch in.
- 17 Q. And Christopher Watts gave that to you?
- 18 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q. And did you get, um, software or software keys from
- 20 any other -- from anybody else at TCNISO other than
- 21 Christopher Watts?
- 22 A. Yes, Isabella Lindquist.
- 23 Q. What did she give you?
- 24 A. Um, copies of the source code of Sigma 1.3, all the
- 25 | way up to 1.7, among other things.

Q. Now -- well, I'll ask you about it. Actually, why 1 don't you, while we're talking about this, can you 2 3 explain the circumstances of how you got those things 4 from Isabella Lindquist? 5 I was talking to both developers of TCN for a certain period of time and over time I received software 6 7 from them that otherwise I wouldn't have access to. 8 Did you pay Isabella Lindquist for source code? I don't recall. It's been a quite a while. 9 10 Now, um, this software that you downloaded from the 11 website, did you use it? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. How did you know what to do with it? 14 A. Um, there's various instructions on the TCNISO 15 website. 16 When you say "instructions," what form did those 17 instructions take? Sometimes -- well, some stuff had video 18 19 instructions, a video tutorial that showed you exactly 20 how to -- for instance, to install, I believe it was, BlackCat or a serial cable, um, and then other times on 21 22 the forums you get specific instructions on how to do it 23 with help from other members and, um, some of the

software came packaged with a text file explaining how

24

25

to use it.

- 1 Q. All right. Did -- to use that TCNISO software, did
- 2 you have to do anything with the MAC address on your
- 3 modem?
- 4 A. Um, you didn't have to do anything to the MAC
- 5 address, but I used it to alter my MAC address.
- 6 Q. And did you have to get a new MAC address to change
- 7 yours, too?
- 8 A. Um, yeah, I did.
- 9 Q. How did you get new MAC addresses?
- 10 A. Um, I either trade them on the TCN forums or the TCN
- 11 | chat room and/or I would scan via SMP other nodes.
- 12 Q. So let's write these down. I think you identified
- 13 three different things, right? The first thing you said
- 14 | is using the TCNISO forums. Is that something you did,
- did you trade MAC addresses that way?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. All right. Then you mentioned a chat room. What
- 18 | chat room was that?
- 19 A. Um, Pound Surfboard.
- 20 Q. And how did you find that particular chat room?
- 21 A. Um, I was linked to it off of TCN's website.
- 22 Q. Did you go to the chat room?
- 23 A. I did.
- Q. And was that a place that you traded MAC addresses?
- 25 A. Yes, it was.

- Q. I think the third thing you mentioned was sniffing for MAC addresses, correct?
  - A. Um, scanning.

12

13

15

19

20

21

- Q. Scanning. Okay. And what software did you use to do that?
- A. Um, I don't recall what it was, but it had allowed

  me to -- basically once I had the reiteration to my

  internet provider, it's kind of like a password-like

  visa, it allowed me to scan the network, kind of like an

  administrator, to which I would have access to MAC

  addresses and the associated information with them.
  - Q. Um, when you traded for MAC addresses on the TCNISO forums, mechanically how did that work?
- 14 A. Um, usually --
  - MR. MCGINTY: Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Overruled.
- Q. I'm not asking you about anything in particular you read or wrote, just mechanically how did it go?
  - A. Well, basically you find someone else with the same internet provider, tell them, "Hey, I have this. You're on the same provider. Do you want to trade?"
- 22 Q. And then what would happen?
- 23 A. We would trade MAC addresses.
- Q. Would you be doing that through open posts that everyone on the forums could see?

```
Α.
         Um --
 1
 2
                MR. McGINTY: Objection.
 3
                THE COURT: Excuse me. Here, let me explain
     it to you. If there's an objection, then you should not
 4
 5
     answer the question.
 6
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
 7
                THE COURT: Until I rule on it. If I say
     "overruled," that means you may answer. If I say
8
     "sustained," that means you may not answer.
 9
10
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
11
                THE COURT: Okay?
12
           Why don't you put the question again.
     Q. Um, the --
13
14
                THE COURT: You're asking him essentially to
15
     describe what a forum is.
16
     Q. Well, actually what I'm asking is did you exchange
17
     this discussion, was this -- did this take place during
18
     public hosts on forums that were available to other
19
     members or was there some more private way in which you
20
     were communicating?
21
         Usually a more private way I was communicating.
     Α.
22
         So there would initially be a public post and then
23
     it would change, is that how it worked?
24
     A. Yes.
25
     Q. Okay. How did the private message work?
```

- 1 A. Um, basically I would send a person a message or
- 2 they would send me a message asking if our MAC addresses
- 3 were for, at that time, Charter Communications, and of
- 4 course I would.
- 5 Q. Let me stop you there. When you said they would
- 6 send you a message, what was that called?
- 7 A. A "private message."
- 8 Q. A "private message." Was that a function available
- 9 through the TCNISO website?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 | Q. Go on.
- 12 A. And they would see the MAC address list and use it
- 13 to get on line.
- 14 Q. Why was it necessary for you to trade MAC addresses
- 15 | with somebody else?
- 16 A. Because if I had a MAC address on my node and I
- 17 tried to use it, I would be kicked off.
- 18 Q. So once you got these MAC addresses from other
- 19 areas, what did you do with them?
- 20 A. I put them on my modem.
- 21 \ Q. Were you able then to get free internet access?
- 22 A. Yes, I was.
- 23 Q. From what company?
- 24 A. Charter Communications.
- 25 Q. What period of time did you have this free access?

- 1 A. From 2004, early '05 to May 1st, 2008.
- Q. During that time period, um, you owned a TCNISO-
- 3 | modified modem?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. Are you familiar with what's called "uncapping"?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
- 7 Q. What do you understand that to mean?
- 8 A. To remove the speed limitation imposed by your
- 9 internet service provider.
- 10 Q. Did you uncap your modems as well?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. What version of software did you first use for
- 13 uncapping?
- 14 A. I believe it was Sigma 1.3.
- 15 Q. How much did the uncapping increase your modem
- 16 speed?
- 17 A. Approximately tenfold.
- 18 Q. So do you remember what the basic speed of the modem
- 19 | was before it was uncapped?
- 20 A. Yeah, about 3 megabits a second.
- 21 Q. 3 megabits per second?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. And what was it after it was uncapped?
- 24 A. 30.
- 25 Q. And for how long did you have that kind of speed?

- 1 A. Almost the whole time.
- 2 Q. Why did you want an uncapped modem, faster speed?
- 3 A. Um, it allowed me to download files faster, video
- 4 files, upload faster. Um, otherwise something that
- 5 would take four days might take me a couple of hours
- 6 instead.
- 7 Q. You mentioned earlier the Pound Surfboard chat
- 8 room. Did you -- what name did you use when you were in
- 9 that chat room?
- 10 A. Um, I used different names, "DShocker," among other
- 11 names. They were just random.
- 12 Q. When you were in that chat room, do you remember
- whether any TCNISO employees were in there at the same
- 14 time?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Who?
- 17 A. Um, DerEngel, um, Isabella, Chris Watts, and
- 18 somebody who used the nickname YourMomma.
- 19 THE COURT: What is it?
- THE WITNESS: YourMomma.
- 21 Q. Did you have any kind of a special status in that
- 22 chat room?
- 23 A. For a period of time I had voice status.
- 24 Q. Voice status?
- 25 A. Yes.

- What does that mean? 1 Q. A. Basically that -- from my understanding I was 2 helping people out, I got voice status, and if the 3 4 channel became what's called "moderated," then I could 5 still talk and regular users couldn't. Who gave you that status? 6 Q. 7 A. Um, Chris Watts. THE COURT: Could I clarify something. When 8 you say "talk," you mean --9 10 THE WITNESS: Chat. 11 THE COURT: Chat. So you could chat when 12 other people were not permitted to? 13 THE WITNESS: Right. And obviously when you say "talk" or "chat" you're 14 Q. 15 typing? 16 I'm typing, right. 17 Q. Okay. Other than the Pound Surfboard group chat 18 room, did you have other means of communication with 19 people involved in TCNISO?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And what were those communications?
- 22 A. Um, Windows Messenger, MSN Messenger.
- Q. MSN Messenger. So those were instant message chats essentially?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. All right. Who did you chat with?
- 2 A. Um, Craig Phillips, Isabella Lindquist, um, at one
- 3 point Brian Harris. Um, pretty much everybody who was
- 4 involved in Surfboard.
- 5 Q. Chris Watts, also?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let me ask you about each of them.
- 8 How often did you chat with Craig Phillips?
- 9 A. Um, quite a bit.
- 10 Q. What name did he use when you chatted with him?
- 11 A. "YourMomma."
- 12 Q. So when you say someone who used that name, you know
- who that person was?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. Okay. When you say you chatted with him quite a
- 16 bit, what do you mean by that, every day, every week,
- 17 every month?
- 18 A. Usually it was every day for quite a while.
- 19 Q. And did Craig Phillips give you access to anything
- 20 on the TCNISO website?
- 21 A. Um, member's area access.
- 22 | Q. What did he give you that allowed you to use the
- 23 member's area?
- 24 A. Um, a membership, um, he -- he added a user name to
- 25 the membership database that allowed me access without

- 1 eventually having to pay for access.
- 2 Q. All right. And you mentioned Isabella Lindquist.
- 3 How frequently would you say you chatted with her, how
- 4 many times?
- 5 A. Um, quite a few times. Um, I mean, enough to know
- 6 where she lived in Kentucky, um, and I talked to her
- 7 enough to know that she wasn't happy with her pay grade
- 8 and all of that. So quite a bit.
- 9 Q. And how about Chris Watts, how much did you chat
- 10 with him?
- 11 A. Um, quite a few times. He was the one who
- originally helped me get my modem on line.
- 13 Q. And, Ryan Harris, you said you chatted with him as
- 14 well. What name did he use when you chatted with him?
- 15 A. "DerEngel."
- 16 Q. And how many times did you chat with him?
- 17 A. Only one or twice.
- 18 Q. Um, and in those chats do you remember what name you
- 19 used?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. At some point, um, did you ever ask to be a
- 22 moderator of the TCNISO forums?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Who did you ask?
- 25 A. Craig Phillips.

- 1 Q. What did he say?
- 2 A. Um, to ask Harris.
- 3 Q. Did you do that?
- 4 A. I did.
- 5 Q. And what did Harris say?
- 6 A. Um, I don't recall. I remember, at one point, I
- 7 believe he did tell me "No."
- 8 Q. Did he ever allow you to be a moderator?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. All right. Do you remember any other specific chats
- 11 you had with Ryan Harris?
- 12 A. Yeah, I had a chat with him under a random nickname
- 13 about bit files.
- 14 | Q. Bit files?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And, um, what if anything do you remember him saying
- 17 or asking him?
- 18 A. Just basically that if I could tell him how to
- 19 change the firmware in modems without the need of
- 20 | software and he'll give me a reward.
- 21 Q. Did that ever end up happening?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Did your relationship, your on-line relationship
- 24 with Ryan Harris, change over time?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. Would you describe that?
- 2 A. First I was all right with him, I didn't really care
- 3 or whatever, and over time, um, his attitude on line
- 4 changed, like he was acting cocky, so I did the same
- 5 thing.
- THE COURT: He was what?
- 7 A. Kind of like a cocky attitude, so I kind of did the
- 8 same thing towards him.
- 9 Q. So he had a cocky attitude and so you took on a
- 10 | cocky attitude as well?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did your relationship deteriorate at that point?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Um, are you familiar with the forums, the TCNISO
- 15 forums?
- 16 A. Yes, I am.
- 17 Q. Did you make posts on those forums?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. Under what names, if you remember?
- 20 A. Um, there were multiple, "DShocker," "Modem," and I
- 21 know there are others, but it's been awhile, so I don't
- 22 remember.
- 23 Q. The posts you made on forums, um, how long do they
- 24 stay up there?
- 25 A. Um, usually they weren't taken down.

They were not taken down? 1 Q. 2 Α. Not usually. Meaning they lasted for how long, approximately? 3 4 A. Um, till whoever decided to go to the forums and 5 delete them. Um, I've had my posts up there for six months or so and, as far as I know, they wouldn't get 6 7 deleted. 8 Q. At some point, um, did you get banned from the forums? 9 10 A. I did. 11 Q. Did you end up getting back on later? 12 A. I did. 13 Q. How is it that you were able to get back on the forums? 14 15 Just, um, I registered under a new user name. 16 Q. All right. 17 MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, I'd like to show 18 Mr. Hanshaw a document that's not yet in evidence, on 19 the screen, marked for identification, and at this point 20 as Exhibit 11. But I think the jury monitors may still be on. I don't know. 21 22 THE COURT: I just turned them on. 23 MR. BOOKBINDER: Okay. They're off. 24 you.

25

(Pause.)

- Q. Now, um, Mr. Hanshaw, do you recognize that document?

  A. I do.
- A. It seems to be an MSN Messenger conversation between myself and a gentleman named Brad Dennis.
- Q. So by a "conversation," are you talking about that it's a chat log?
- 9 A. A chat log, yeah.

Q. What is it?

- Q. Now, there's two, um -- okay. So you were one of the speakers here and you say the other person is Brad
- 13 A. Yes.

Dennis?

4

- MR. BOOKBINDER: All right. The government offers Exhibit 11.
- THE COURT: As I understand it, there's no objection, so it is admitted.
- MR. BOOKBINDER: Thank you.
- 19 (Exhibit 11, marked.)
- Q. Now, what's the date on this log?
- 21 A. Um, Monday, January 15th, 2007.
- Q. And it looks like one of the speakers is -- what's
- written on there is "Source." Who is that?
- 24 A. Brad Dennis.
- Q. When you were chatting with him, did you use the

- name "source" or is that something that's been written over later?
- 3 A. It's been written over later.
- 4 Q. The other speaker is kind of a -- it's five wavy
- 5 lines. Who's that?
- 6 A. That would be me.
- 7 Q. You used that as a nickname at this point?
- 8 A. I don't believe so.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, again, that's been changed as well?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So you recognize this as a conversation you had?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. Now, I'm not going to ask you about any of the
- 14 details of this conversation, but at the time you were
- 15 speaking with Brad Dennis, do you know where he was,
- 16 where he was living?
- 17 A. In Washington.
- 18 Q. Is that Washington, D.C. or the State of Washington?
- 19 A. The State of Washington.
- 20 Q. How do you know that?
- 21 A. I had obtained his information from customer service
- 22 records and I sent SWAT to his address.
- 23 Q. All right. Well, I'm going to ask you more about
- 24 that in a minute. But you said from customer service
- 25 records, customer service records from where?

- A. An internet provider.
- 2 Q. You essentially hacked into an internet provider and
- 3 got that customer record showing his address?
- 4 A. Yes, I believe that's how I would have obtained it.
- 5 This is how I obtained everyone else's information at
- 6 that point.

- 7 Q. All right. And you said that later on, um, you sent
- 8 police to his house?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. I'll ask you more about that in a minute.
- But, um, at this time, and this is January of
- 12 | 2007, were you using a Sigma-modified modem for internet
- 13 access?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you were living where?
- 16 A. Um, Worcester, Massachusetts.
- MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, I'd like to now
- 18 show, um, Mr. Hanshaw another document not yet in
- 19 evidence.
- 20 THE COURT: Actually what number is this?
- 21 MR. BOOKBINDER: It's marked for
- 22 identification as Exhibit 12.
- THE COURT: All right. And I think there's no
- 24 objection to that one either.
- MR. BOOKBINDER: Oh, all right, that's --

```
THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. McGinty?
 1
                MR. McGINTY: That's correct.
 2
 3
                MR. BOOKBINDER: All right. Then the
     government offers Exhibit 12.
 4
                THE COURT: Exhibit 12 is admitted.
 5
                (Exhibit 12, marked.)
 6
 7
     Q. What's Exhibit 12?
8
     A. Exhibit 12 is a conversation between myself and Brad
     Dennis.
 9
10
         Again, when you say a "conversation," you mean a
11
     chat log?
12
     A. A chat log.
13
     Q. Here what name is Brad Dennis using?
         "Brdennis@gmail.com."
14
     Α.
15
         What name are you using?
     Q.
16
        "Ddos@serialS."
     Α.
17
         You say "ddos," that's D-D-O-S?
18
     Α.
         Yes.
19
         Is that some kind of internet attack, basically?
20
     A. Yes.
21
     Q. If I scroll down to the bottom of the page, what's
     the date on this one?
22
     A. December 6th, 2007.
23
24
         Where was, um, Brad Dennis living at that time, if
25
     you know?
```

- 1 A. Washington state.
- 2 Q. And where were you?
- 3 A. Worcester, Massachusetts.
- 4 Q. Is it fair to say that one thing you used your
- 5 internet access for was to commit crime?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. In fact, you pled guilty in 2008 in federal court to
- 8 a series of computer crimes, is that correct?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. Were some of those hacking crimes?
- 11 A. Yes, they were.
- 12 Q. You mentioned earlier, um, sending police to
- 13 someone's house. What's that called?
- 14 A. "Swatting."
- 15 Q. "Swatting," S-W-A-T-T-I-N-G?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Can you explain what "swatting" is?
- 18 A. Um, attempt to trick emergency services to raid an
- 19 enemy's house.
- 20 Q. So when you say "raid," you're trying to get the
- 21 | SWAT team basically to show up at someone's house that
- 22 | you don't like?
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 Q. Did you succeed in getting that to happen?
- 25 A. I did.

```
Is it fair to say that's a pretty dangerous thing to
 1
 2
     do?
 3
     Α.
         Yes.
         Um, are you familiar with something called
 4
     "carding," C-A-R-D-I-N-G?
 5
         Yes.
 6
     Α.
 7
         Is that something you did also?
     Q.
8
     Α.
         It is.
     Q. What's that?
 9
10
     A. Um, you sell credit cards to order goods and
11
     merchandise on line.
12
         I'd now like to show you what's marked as Exhibit
     27. I don't think there's an objection to this one
13
14
     either.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. 27 is admitted.
16
                (Exhibit 27, marked.)
17
     Q. Now, on the screen is the first page of a multipage
18
     document. What is this?
19
         It's my plea agreement.
20
         What's the date on that?
         Um, september 15th, 2008.
21
     Α.
22
         And, um, in this agreement, um -- actually, yeah,
23
     why don't you describe what you, um, agreed to do in
24
     this plea agreement.
```

I agreed to be truthful with the government about

25

Α.

any and all of my crimes. I agreed to testify at any 1 grand jury, hearing, or trial. Um --2 3 Did you also agree to plead guilty? 4 Yes, I agreed to plead guilty. 5 And does this plea agreement contain an agreement on what sentence you would receive if you pled guilty? 6 7 Yes. Α. 8 When you committed the crimes that are subject to this plea agreement, how old were you? 9 10 Um, 16. Α. 11 So you were a juvenile? 12 Α. I was. 13 What was the sentence that you and the government agreed on? 14 11 months of incarceration. 15 16 Did you actually receive that sentence? 17 Α. I did. 18 Have you served your time already? 19 Yes, I have. 20 At this point what if anything are you expecting from the government in return for your cooperation? 21 22 A. Nothing. 23 Are you in custody now? Q. 24 A. Yes.

25

Q. Why?

```
Um, a probationary violation.
 1
     Α.
 2
         So you served your sentence and you were out on
     probation --
 3
 4
     A. Yes.
 5
     Q. -- and you committed other crimes or violated your
     terms of probation?
 6
 7
     A. Yes.
     Q. And now you're back in jail?
8
     A. That's right.
 9
10
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, if I could just
11
     have a moment?
12
                THE COURT: Yes.
13
                (Pause.)
14
                MR. BOOKBINDER: No further questions.
15
16
     CROSS-EXAMINATION BY McGINTY:
17
     Q. Mr. Hanshaw, good morning.
18
     A. Good morning.
     Q. You have spoken a few times with the government in
19
20
     preparation for your testimony today, have you not?
21
     A. Yes, I have.
22
         Tell us how many times you've spoken with the
23
     government?
24
         Um, in total I believe it was three times.
     Q. Three times.
25
```

- Do you remember talking to the government shortly after you were arrested?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- Q. And that would have been May 1st of 2008. Do you remember that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember a short time after that you met with them again on May the 7th and May the 9th to discuss
- 9 matters further, am I right?
- 10 A. Um, I don't know the exact dates, but I did discuss
  11 further with them.
- Q. Do you remember meeting with the government in September of '08?
- A. I met with them multiple times, but I don't remember the exact dates.
- Q. Do you remember meeting with them in March and May of '09?
- 18 A. Um, I do remember meeting them in May of '09.
- Q. Do you remember meeting with them in January of this year and also February 14th, just a few days ago?
- 21 A. Um, I remember meeting with them this year, yes.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that a number of times
  you met with the government after your arrest probably
  comes up to eight times, is that fair to say?
- 25 A. Um, I'm not quite sure. I wouldn't say -- I don't

believe it was that many, but --1 Would you say it's significantly more than three? 2 3 I would say it was at least three times. 4 Now, you are by skill a "social engineer"? You know 5 what that means, right? 6 Α. I quess so. 7 And so you're a "social engineer," right? 8 I wouldn't call myself a "social engineer." Α. THE COURT: Excuse me just a second. 9 10 (Pause.) 11 Would you agree with me that a "social engineer" is 12 someone who manipulates people into doing things or 13 divulging confidential information? 14 A. Yes. And you have, several times, you've used the skill 15 16 of social engineering to get information, including 17 confidential information, from people who were deceived 18 about who you were? 19 Yeah, that's correct. 20 Q. Now, that's the essence of what "social engineering" 21 is, isn't it? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And the skill of the social engineer is to learn 24 what somebody else doesn't want to surrender, isn't that

25

true?

- 1 A. Um, I guess.
- 2 Q. To get from them something they don't want to give,
- 3 am I right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. If they knew who you were, right?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. And when you did your social engineering with
- 8 Motorola, with Roadrunner, with Comcast, with any number
- 9 of ISPs, did you ever tell them who you were?
- 10 A. No, not my true identity.
- 11 Q. When you penetrated the ISPs and got into their
- 12 | confidential websites, in getting there did you ever
- tell the people that you got that information from who
- 14 you were?
- 15 A. No, I did not.
- 16 Q. You're very skillful, aren't you?
- 17 A. Um, you could -- well, I'm not going to say I am,
- 18 but you could say I am.
- 19 Q. You know what a "botnet" is, don't you?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 | Q. A "botnet," and tell me if I'm wrong, is a network
- 22 of thousands of compromised computers, is that true?
- 23 A. Yeah, that's true, but it doesn't have to be
- 24 thousands, though.
- 25 Q. It could be a number of compromised computers,

- 1 right?
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. And you can infect them with a malicious software
- 4 code, right?
- 5 A. A "botnet" is actually a malicious code.
- 6 Q. Now, typically the person who owns the computer
- 7 doesn't know that you've captured them, does he?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. So you crawl in someone's computer to bend it to
- 10 your will and to make it do malicious things, fair to
- 11 say?
- 12 A. Um, yes.
- 13 Q. Among the things you did was to perform multiple
- 14 denial-of-service attacks on people you didn't like,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And a denial-of-service attack means marshaling all
- 18 those captive, infected computers --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- of people who don't even know you, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- and directing them in a malicious way toward an
- 23 innocent website, am I right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And shutting it down, right?

A. Um, yes.

- Q. Destroying it, if you can, right?
- 3 A. Well, not so much to destroy, it's just that it
- 4 can't handle any traffic at the moment, so, um, why it's
- 5 called a "denial of service" is you deny service at that
- 6 time, but the website is not permanently destroyed.
- 7 Q. It takes a lot of skill to do this, right?
- 8 A. I would say not.
- 9 Q. It's just an average skill someone has to direct a
- 10 herd of botnets to shut down a website, just the kind of
- 11 skill that lots of people have, right?
- MR. BOOKBINDER: Objection.
- 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Just ask him once.
- 14 Q. It's an unusual skill, is it not?
- 15 A. Um, I wouldn't say so much it's unusual. I've known
- 16 plenty of people who knew exactly what a botnet was and
- 17 is.
- 18 Q. Um, you were a swatter, you testified about that on
- 19 | direct, am I right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And when you swat you obtain a swatting victim's
- 22 phone numbers and physical addresses usually by hacking
- 23 into the ISP that's got that information to learn that
- 24 information, am I right?
- 25 A. That's right.

- 1 Q. So you have the ability to penetrate into an ISP to
- 2 get the confidential information, am I right?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. To use that confidential information for purposes of
- 5 marshaling an attack against that person, am I right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You would then use 911 or other emergency service
- 8 calls in order to, um, call in the police to that
- 9 location, am I right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you would claim that somebody is being harmed,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Um, yes, usually.
- 14 | Q. So this would hoax an emergency call to get a SWAT
- 15 team to arrive at their house, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. While people were eating dinner, right?
- 18 A. Um, no, not just any person, um, a lot of them are
- 19 pedophiles.
- 20 Q. In Seattle, you called the Seattle police, am I
- 21 right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 | Q. And you were spoofing, falsifying, right, so people
- 24 didn't know it was you doing it, you were spoofing a
- 25 phone number, correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. So you made a call to the Seattle police, right?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You spoof their number so no one knows it's you,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. It appears to be that victim's number, am I right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you claimed that someone was armed and had just
- 10 shot someone at that victim's address, am I right?
- 11 A. Well, I don't have the exact details, but, yeah,
- 12 that sounds correct.
- 13 Q. Now, this was an awful lot of fun, wasn't it?
- 14 A. Um, it wasn't really so much it's fun, it's just
- more of an ego thing.
- 16 Q. An ego thing. Sometimes you had people listening in
- on the line so that they would hear the response of the
- 18 people, am I right?
- 19 A. Um, yes.
- 20 Q. That was the fun part, right?
- 21 A. Um, yes.
- 22 Q. And the police then show up in this Seattle
- 23 | location, right, their weapons are drawn, and at gun
- 24 point -- at gun point they detain the victim and his
- 25 mother, right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And that must have been world-class fun, right?
- 3 A. Not really.
- 4 Q. They held the mother and the son at gun point while
- 5 they searched the house, right?
- 6 A. I don't know the exact details, but I would imagine
- 7 so.
- 8 Q. And while this is happening they're frightened for
- 9 their lives on the floor, am I right?
- 10 A. Um, I wouldn't know. I know that --
- 11 Q. And maybe --
- 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry. He hasn't finished his
- 13 answer.
- 14 A. I know that Brad Dennis, who you're speaking of,
- 15 knew it was coming because he dared me to do it.
- 16 Q. He dared you to do it.
- Do you think the victim and the victim's mother
- 18 knew this was coming?
- 19 A. I knew the victim knew it was coming because he's
- 20 the one who told me that I couldn't do it.
- 21 Q. Do you think the mother knew it was coming?
- 22 A. Probably not.
- 23 Q. You reported a bomb threat to a high school in
- 24 Florida, am I right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You reported an armed gunman at a school?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You caused the authorities to evacuate that school?
- 4 A. I believe so.
- 5 Q. You did this about 17 times, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Oh, actually 17 times that we know about, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You also got stolen credit cards from people,
- 10 right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. This was also information you got by your skill at
- 13 penetrating into providers' secret confidential computer
- 14 databases, am I right?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. You obtained these numbers in order to get credit
- 17 cards, am I right?
- 18 A. Um, would you repeat the question?
- 19 Q. You obtained stolen credit card numbers and you used
- 20 these numbers to charge purchases on those victim credit
- 21 card accounts, am I right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. (Pause.) Your family, do I understand correctly,
- 24 had cable TV, am I right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. So they had a cable service coming into their apartment, correct?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- Q. You were using a means to try to get internet service on a live cable TV modem, do I understand that
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Um, yes.
- Q. Now, you used other ways to access the internet
- 9 apart from this DSL line. Do you remember that you
- 10 accessed the internet from open WIFI access points in
- 11 your neighborhood. Do you remember that?
- 12 A. Um, I did at some point, but not often.
- 13 Q. Not often. Okay.
- Now, when you told this to the government, it was
- shortly after you were arrested, am I right?
- 16 A. Um, it may have been. I don't remember exactly
  17 when.
- 18 Q. Now, when you -- let me back up a second.
- So you agree that you did access the internet from open WIFI access points in the neighborhood?
- 21 A. At one point I did.
- 22 Q. Okay. And how many times did you do that?
- 23 A. Well, I don't know exactly, but it wasn't that many
- 24 | because I had a modem.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, this is called "war driving," am I

right? 1 2 A. Yes. All right. Now, "war driving" creates several 3 4 different possibilities of theft of service, am I right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Now, you can sit outside somebody's house and 7 basically piggyback on their service while you're 8 sitting there with your computer in your lap, am I 9 right? 10 A. Yes. 11 And while you're there, you can use their WIFI and 12 download things on your computer, am I right? A. Yes. 13 14 And when you do it, you're not downloading it 15 through your house's cable wire, are you? 16 Not through mine specifically, but it might be 17 through theirs. 18 It might be through theirs, right? Q. 19 Α. Right. 20 But they may have DSL, right? 21 A. Right. 22 So when you squat on a live WIFI, you're squatting on whatever service they have which could be wire, 23 24 cable, it could be DSL, am I right?

25

A. Yes.

- 1 Q. It could be other forms of high-speed internet,
- 2 right?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you don't care, do you?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. And while you do that, you have the capability of
- 7 downloading that information, right?
- 8 A. What information?
- 9 Q. The information that you're squatting there to
- 10 steal, am I right?
- 11 A. Um, would you elaborate a little bit.
- 12 Q. I asked you a short time ago whether among the
- things you can do when you war drive is sit outside
- 14 | someone's house and squat on their service, basically
- 15 use their service from outside?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, the other thing you can do is you can steal
- 18 their confidential information, am I right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Including their MAC address, am I right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Including their IP address, right?
- 23 A. You can steal their IP address, um, but for their IP
- 24 address you need only -- only one can be on line at one
- 25 time or you can't steal their IP.

- Q. Now, that service that you're stealing from is an unsecured WIFI service, right?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Probably a lesser challenge for someone of your
- 5 skills than hacking into Roadrunner or Charter or
- 6 Comcast, fair to say?
- 7 A. Yeah, it was easy.
- 8 Q. Must have been child's play, right?
- 9 A. It would that at first.
- 10 Q. So when you met with the government, you told them
- 11 that you had received modified modems from individuals
- on Max Fraud. Do you remember telling them that?
- 13 A. Um, I don't recall. It's been quite a while.
- Q. Do you recall meeting with the government on May 9th
- 15 of 2008?
- 16 A. Again, it's been a long time, so I don't remember
- 17 the specific dates.
- 18 Q. (Pause.) Do you remember meeting with the
- 19 government on May the 9th of 2008?
- 20 A. I don't recall the dates as I have previously
- 21 stated.
- 22 MR. McGINTY: I'm going to put this on the --
- THE COURT: I've turned the jurors' monitors
- 24 off.
- 25 Q. Now I'm directing your attention to where my finger

is and I ask you to read that sentence there to 1 2 yourself. And would you also look at other parts of 3 that and see if it refreshes your recollection as to 4 what you had said to the government on May 8th of 2008. 5 A. (Reads.) Does it refresh your recollection? 6 7 Α. Um, yeah. 8 Do you recall telling the government that you had frequented the network Max Fraud and received modified 9 10 modems from individuals on Max Fraud? 11 Um, I do remember telling them I was on Max Fraud. I don't remember receiving modified modems from Max 12 13 Fraud. 14 Q. Now, you testified that every time you accessed the 15 internet you did it using TCNISO software, do you 16 remember saying that in your direct testimony? 17 A. Yes. 18 Isn't it the case that you had modified modems that 19 you got from other places, isn't that true? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. You were friends with a gentleman called Chris 22 Dixon, were you not? 23 A. I was. 24 Q. And he shared information with you and you with him,

25

fair to say?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And parts of the information related to, um, access
- 3 into the Charter internet network, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. In other words, the confidential information inside
- 6 the server of Charter, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Dixon provided you with credit card information, did
- 9 he not?
- 10 | A. He did.
- 11 | Q. You would use that information in order to buy goods
- 12 and services on the internet, am I right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Dixon also sold modified modems, didn't he?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You knew a person who went by the name
- 17 | "F-D-R-C-S-A," am I getting that right?
- 18 A. The first name.
- 19 Q. The first name. Who is that?
- 20 A. A programmer.
- 21 Q. And what is his name?
- 22 A. I don't know his name.
- 23 Q. Had you been in contact with him?
- 24 A. Um, I have at one point. I believe I talked to him.
- THE COURT: All right. You're going to have

- to keep your voice up, please.
- 2 A. Yeah, at one point I do believe I talked to him.
- 3 Q. Okay. What's that one point that you talked to him?
- 4 A. Um, this was years ago so I don't really remember,
- 5 but I was dealing with other stuff in my life, so I
- 6 don't remember exactly everything.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now, you knew that he created a hacked
- 8 version of Sigma X, you knew that, right?
- 9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. In other words, the Sigma program that was sold by
- 11 | TCNISO had been duplicated and sold by other vendors, am
- 12 | I right?
- 13 A. I don't believe it was actually sold, um, I believe
- 14 | it was released and for people to use, but they had to
- 15 pay for it.
- 16 Q. And that was a modified form of Sigma X, am I right?
- 17 A. Yes, but I believe the only real modification was
- 18 the background was changed a little bit and it was made
- 19 so that you don't have to have a license to use it.
- 20 Q. Okay. And that software was available through this
- 21 download that you say you spoke to once, am I right?
- 22 A. Yeah, I mean I may have spoken to him more than
- 23 once. I don't really recall.
- 24 | O. Did he have a website?
- 25 A. Um, I don't recall.

- 1 Q. Did he have a name?
- 2 A. The first name you mentioned is what he went by.
- 3 Q. Did you ever mention this to the government?
- 4 A. Um, I don't know.
- Q. Maybe the answer is "No, you didn't," is that the answer?
- 7 THE COURT: No, that's not --
- Q. Isn't it the case that you had not mentioned his name to the government?
- A. Um, if I didn't it's probably because I'd seen no reason to mention his name to the government because I didn't think it would be interested in a modified
- 13 version of Sigma.
- Q. Sigma worked on the Motorola 4100 series, am I right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And the BlackCat worked on the 5100 series, am I right?
- A. BlackCat had all the ability to program all the modems, the 4100 and the 4200.
- Q. Now, when you were asked on direct about Sigma and you were asked, "What was the first program that you got from TCNISO?" You said, "I believe Sigma 1.3"?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. So you didn't say "Sigma 1.3," you said, "I believe

Sigma 1.3," correct? 1 That's correct. 2 Q. When you were asked about -- in a different moment 3 in the direct, and you were asked about what the first 4 5 product was that you got from TCNISO, you said "firmware similar to 1.3," didn't you? 6 7 No, I said "firmware Sigma 1.3." 8 Q. So you didn't say "similar to," you said "firmware Sigma"? 9 10 A. Yeah. 11 Q. Now, the Sigma that you got was free on the website, 12 am I right? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And it was in essence freeware, correct? 15 Yes. 16 O. Now, this was also true of the BlackCat firmware 17 that you talked about as well, both of them "freeware" 18 as you described it, am I right? 19 A. Yes. 20 And "freeware" means that you go to the website and 21 you download it -- that's your testimony, right? 22 Α. Yeah. 23 So you're never purchasing anything, are you? Q. 24 Um, you would have to purchase BlackCat to be able

25

to program the SB-5100.

- THE COURT: Mr. Hanshaw, try to keep your voice up, please.
  - A. You would have to purchase BlackCat to be able to program Sigma X onto the modem.
- Q. Now, when you -- so when you testified about getting -- well, strike that.

Before you have any dealings with TCNISO, you undertake your preparations for the call, is that fair to say?

10 A. Yeah.

3

4

7

8

- Q. Because you don't call someone and hold and -without having figured out exactly what it is you want
  to get and how it is you want to get it, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. So you don't call TCNISO and say, "Gee, I'd like a product," do you?
- 17 A. Um, you can't order it, so, yeah.
- Q. So you then, using your social engineering skills, you learn as much as you can about TCNISO, am I right?
- A. I wouldn't say, at that time, specifically related
  to learning about TCN, um, I more or less just wanted to
  be a software developer because I found it intriguing, a
  device such as that would do so many things.
- 24 Q. Now, um, you contacted at some point Craig Phillips?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And it was Phillips -- and as you describe it in
- 2 your discussions with him, you began to "suck up to
- 3 Phillips," those are the words you used, am I right?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. And in sucking up to him you're trying to impress
- 6 him with how much you know, am I right?
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 Q. Now, this is basically the same technique you used
- 9 on Isabella Lindquist, am I right?
- 10 A. Um, yeah, I would say so.
- 11 Q. And the trick with her is you had to persuade her
- 12 that you had some source code in order to get the rest
- of the source code from her, am I right?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Isn't it true that you had some of the source code
- 16 for Sigma?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that when you got it, you had hacked in order to
- 19 | get it?
- 20 A. In order to get it, I didn't hack, I, um, received
- 21 it from developers.
- 22 Q. From developers. You got it from someone else,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Um, from the employees at TCNISO.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, the employees of -- so when you get this

- from the developers, are you paying for it?
- 2 A. No.

- 3 Q. And when you got it from them, did you tell them who
- 4 you were?
- 5 A. They knew who I was.
- 6 Q. They knew who you were and -- how did you identify
- 7 yourself, as "DShocker"?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And when you identified yourself as "DShocker," did
- 10 you say to them, "I'd like to get the source code for
- 11 | Sigma"?
- 12 A. In a roundabout way I eventually got it from them.
- 13 Q. And the roundabout way is you used deception, am I
- 14 right?
- 15 A. No, no, I wouldn't say so, I just talked to them,
- 16 you know, kind of in a regular basis, you know, person
- 17 to person.
- 18 Q. And you kind of tried to persuade them that you
- 19 already had part of it, right?
- 20 A. Excuse me?
- 21 | Q. You tried to persuade them that you already had part
- 22 of it, right?
- 23 A. Um, I don't believe so, because they're the ones who
- 24 gave me the source code.
- 25 Q. Now, over time you'd work on them until they give

you part of this source code, you describe, that's your 1 testimony, right? 2 A. Um, yeah. 3 4 By you don't get all of it, do you? 5 Α. I do, actually. You do. But the way you do is you call Isabella 6 7 Lindquist, right? 8 Um, I talk to her over the internet. Q. Now, originally -- strike that. 9 10 At some point you were talking to Isabella 11 Lindquist using a private communication, just you and 12 her, right? 13 That's right. Α. 14 And in the private communications, you were 15 complaining about Ryan Harris, weren't you? 16 Um, at some point I may have, but I don't recall, 17 no. 18 Q. Because he didn't like you, did he? 19 A. Um, I wouldn't imagine so. 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't imagine so. 21 22 Q. Now, um, you had once tried to be -- you had once tried to be a moderator on the forum, right? 23 24 A. Yes.

Q. And Harris's response to you was, "I don't know who

```
you are, " isn't that right?
 1
 2
         Um, I believe so.
 3
     Q. Now, when you did this you were using your name,
 4
     "Nathan," right, you weren't using "DShocker," right?
 5
         I don't know. I was probably using -- um, if I was
     talking in MSN Messenger, I was probably using my
 6
 7
     nickname "Nate57" --
                THE COURT: What's that?
8
 9
                THE WITNESS: If I was using Messenger, I was
10
     talking -- I believe I was probably using
11
     "Nate57@hotmail.com."
12
     Q. I'm going to show you a document and ask you to look
13
     at it and see if it helps you remember this
     communication.
14
15
                THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait a minute.
16
                (Pause.)
17
     Q. The record is --
18
                THE COURT: Excuse me. I'm not -- is this
     something that's in evidence?
19
20
                MR. McGINTY: Yes.
21
                MR. BOOKBINDER: No, it isn't.
22
                THE COURT: Here, Dan.
23
                MR. MCGINTY: I'm sorry, your Honor. May I
24
     have a moment?
25
                THE COURT: Yes. The jurors' monitors are not
```

1 on. 2 (Pause.) 3 Q. Have you had a chance to review this? 4 Um, I have. Α. 5 Now, did you remember -- well, does this help you refresh your recollection about what your communication 6 7 was? 8 THE COURT: And let me explain. You're not to, um -- the question is -- well, actually, when you 9 10 say "What's your communication?" In order to refresh 11 his recollection, first of all, it has to be something 12 that he didn't remember. So he was talking about what 13 name he was using --14 MR. McGINTY: And he hadn't remembered. 15 THE COURT: Right. So you should focus your 16 question on that. And then the issue is going to be not 17 what does it say on a piece of paper, but having seen 18 that, does it cause you to have an independent memory, 19 as you sit here today, something you didn't remember 20 before you saw it? But this isn't intended to have you 21 tell the jury what's on the paper, unless you have a 22 personal memory of it now. 23 A. (Looks.) 24 Does this refresh your recollection about what name 25 you used?

- 1 A. Um, yeah. Yes.
- 2 Q. And what name did you use?
- 3 A. My name "Nathan."
- 4 Q. So you didn't identify yourself as "DShocker," did
- 5 you?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And do you recall that the conversation related to
- 8 whether Mr. Harris would permit you to be a moderator on
- 9 the forum, do you remember that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And do you remember that Harris's response to you --
- 12 THE COURT: In fact, there's no reason for
- 13 them to keep that up right now.
- 14 Q. Do you remember Harris's response to be, "I don't
- 15 know you"?
- 16 A. Um, I don't recall what his response was.
- 17 Q. And, um, do you recall that you were not made a
- 18 | moderator on the forum?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now --
- 21 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Here. Okay. You want
- 22 to let him finish the answer, so the jury can hear it.
- Go ahead.
- MR. McGINTY: Now, um, your Honor, I would
- 25 move to admit this and I'd like to go through this with

```
the witness.
 1
 2
                MR. BOOKBINDER: No objection.
 3
                THE COURT: The government has no objection?
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Actually, this has already
 4
 5
     been marked, your Honor, as Exhibit 10, and we can use
     that version, if you'd like.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Oh, it's Exhibit 10?
 8
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes.
                THE COURT: All right.
 9
           But it hasn't been admitted?
10
11
                MR. BOOKBINDER: It's not been admitted.
12
                THE COURT: All right.
13
           Mr. McGinty, is there any problem with it being
     Exhibit 10?
14
15
                MR. McGINTY: No, your Honor.
                (Hands up.)
16
17
     Q. Mr. Hanshaw, I'm going to ask you --
                MR. McGINTY: Your Honor, is this on the
18
19
     screen?
20
                THE COURT: It is -- well, let me put it this
21
     way, it should be.
22
                (On screen.)
23
                THE COURT: Now it is.
     Q. Mr. Hanshaw, why don't you read your contribution to
24
25
     the post and I read Mr. Harris's reply.
```

All right. "Hi." 1 Α. I'm sorry? 2 Q. I start off with "Hi." 3 4 Q. "Yes." 5 "I was wondering about becoming a forum moderator. I have experience." 6 7 Q. "I don't know you. You don't have every product 8 from us." A. "Your woman does know me." 9 10 THE COURT: Try to keep your voice up, please. 11 "She has taught me. I talked to Isabella and more. 12 I have had solid forums helping members. I have helped 13 numerous people. I go through forums every day and 14 report back to you, among other things, who sends 15 inappropriate posts. I give him a link and he delays 16 steps, so I kind of had some experience with him." 17 "Okay, I'll talk to him then." "YourMomma: Okay. Thank you. Let me know what 18 19 happens. Thank you for your time. Hi. Hi. Hey. Hi, 20 DerEngel." Q. "Yes." 21 22 "It's me. I was wondering what was happening with 23 me becoming a moderator."

"I told you I'm not looking for new moderators."

"Okay. DerEngel, do you want a separate version of

24

25

Q.

Α.

- 1 TCNISO \$10 a month? Hi."
- Q. (Pause.) You testified that you would go onto the
- 3 forums and you'd use different names. Do you remember
- 4 saying that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. That you didn't just use the name "DShocker"?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Do you remember telling the agents, on January 24th,
- 9 2012, in an interview with them, that when you chatted
- in surfboard or posted on the TCNISO forums, you did so
- 11 as "DShocker." Do you remember telling them that?
- 12 A. I had done so as "DShocker."
- 13 Q. But do you remember telling them that when you
- 14 | posted, that you posted in the name "DShocker"?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. And -- are you aware that in search of the forums,
- there are no posts for DShocker?
- 18 A. They were probably removed.
- 19 Q. Removed. And the reason they were removed was
- 20 because you were banned from the forums, am I right?
- 21 A. You're right.
- 22 | Q. And you were banned because Brian Harris didn't like
- 23 you, did he?
- 24 A. I wouldn't imagine so.
- 25 Q. Right. And the Nathan who communicates, asking to

be moderator, doesn't identify himself as the DShocker 1 who is trying to get on the forum, am I right? 2 A. Um, I believe later on he did know who I was because 3 4 I was in communication -- I caused communication with 5 employees of TCNISO who -- and I used the nickname "DShocker" associated with my e-mail that I communicated 6 7 to him on. 8 Q. You never associated your name with the name "DShocker," isn't that the case? 10 I don't recall, but I know my -- when I communicated 11 with him on MSN Messenger, I used "Nate57@hotmail.com", 12 and people knew I was "DShocker" on that e-mail. 13 Did they know that you were Nathan Hanshaw? Q. 14 Α. Nobody knew my full name, no. 15 No one knew your full name ever, am I right? 16 You're right. Α. 17 Q. And that's because it took the police a calendar 18 year and more to find out who the guy was that was 19 swatting, who was committing the crimes you were 20 committing, isn't that right? A. Yes --21 Q. Isn't that true? 22 23 THE COURT: No, he was answering the question. 24 Yes, that's true because of the anonymity of the

25

modem.

- Q. Did the government ever suggest that you answer a question that way?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. All right. Um, in the eight-odd meetings that you
- 5 had with the government, did they ever tell you that the
- 6 capability of anonymity in this modem is going to be an
- 7 important part of this trial?
- 8 A. No, I knew that, myself, the modem allowed me
- 9 anonymity and that's why -- that's the main reason I
- 10 used it.
- 11 Q. So when you just contributed the thought that the
- 12 modem attributes anonymity, that's not because, um, you
- think that contributes to the government's case, do I
- 14 understand that right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, Mr. Harris had a contentious relationship with
- 17 you, did he not?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. That's with the "DShocker" who never said who he
- 20 was, am I right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. But he didn't like you, right?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. He hated you, right?
- 25 A. I would imagine.

- Q. Did Craig Phillips ever say that Ryan Harris called
- 2 you an "idiot"?
- 3 A. Um, I don't recall.
- 4 Q. Did Craig Phillips, in his communications with you,
- 5 ever tell you that Harris didn't want Phillips
- 6 communicating with you. Did he ever say that?
- 7 A. Could you repeat the question?
- 8 Q. Did Phillips ever say to you that Harris didn't want
- 9 Phillips communicating with him?
- 10 A. I don't believe so.
- 11 Q. Now, you were communicating with Phillips via MSN,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Yeah, via MSN.
- 14 | Q. Okay. And MSN, unless you block the logging
- 15 | feature, permits the recording of chats, am I right, it
- 16 logs them?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So if -- so your testimony is that you had many
- 19 chats, daily chats with Mr. Phillips, am I right?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. So these chats would be reflected both on your
- 22 computer as the -- as one end of the chat, but also on
- 23 Phillips's computer on the other end of the chat, am I
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Um, not necessarily. Um, I didn't log and Phillips

- 1 may not have logged either. And there's also the
- 2 possibility that he would delete the log.
- 3 Q. Now, Harris banned you from the forums about 30
- 4 times, am I right?
- 5 A. No, I don't know how many times.
- 6 Q. It was a lot, wasn't it?
- 7 A. I wouldn't say it was 30 times.
- 8 Q. It was quite a number, right?
- 9 A. It was -- I'll give him at least three times.
- 10 Q. And you got even by hacking into the forum, am I
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Um, I had gained, at one point, authorized access,
- 13 yes.
- 14 | Q. Even though Harris didn't want you to be there, am I
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. Through Mr. Phillips you meet Isabella, right?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. And Isabella, or Becca, you started trying to --
- 20 what shall we say, to work Isabella. You understand
- 21 what I mean? You tried to get her to give you
- 22 | something, am I right?
- 23 A. I tried to build a rapport with her, yes.
- 24 Q. A rapport. And the rapport wasn't because you liked
- 25 her, it was because you were trying to get something

- 1 from her, right?
- 2 A. Um, I liked her, too, but that was also a part of
- 3 it, yes.
- 4 Q. All right. And what you wanted from her was the
- 5 code for Sigma, am I right?
- 6 A. You're right.
- 7 Q. And you started chatting with her privately, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. On the Internet Relay Chat, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You were trying to work her so she would give you
- 12 that code, am I right?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 | Q. She gave you, for free, the TCNISO code?
- 15 A. I received multiple copies of TCNISO code.
- 16 Q. And when you say "multiple copies" of this, you, at
- some point, showed her partial code of Sigma, right?
- 18 A. I don't recall. I may have.
- 19 Q. Do you remember showing her partial code to try to
- 20 | persuade her to give you the rest of the code. Do you
- 21 remember that?
- 22 A. I don't recall it.
- 23 Q. Do you remember saying to her that if she did that,
- 24 you would give her \$100?
- 25 A. Um, I don't recall.

- 1 Q. Do you remember that she paid you \$100 -- or you
- 2 paid her \$100 for that code, do you remember?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. Do you remember blocking the payment so she wouldn't
- 5 get the \$100 that you promised?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. None of that?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. That you cheated her. Do you remember that?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. (Pause.) You chatted with her and you chatted with,
- 12 um, Watts -- your testimony is you chatted with them on
- 13 | Surfboard, right?
- 14 A. Um, in private messages.
- 15 Q. But you also chatted with them on Sidney Wonderland,
- 16 | didn't you?
- 17 A. Sidney Wonderland was a theme park in Australia, I
- 18 believe, that Chris Watts was telling me about. They
- 19 had gotten them shut down or it was due to be shut down.
- 20 Q. All right. And you had a chat capability, you told
- 21 | the agents, through Sidney Wonderland?
- 22 A. Sidney? I'm not sure what you're --
- 23 Q. Through Pound Sidney Wonderland.
- 24 A. I think there might be some misinformation because
- 25 | Sidney Wonderland was a theme park in Australia, I

believe, that Watts was telling me about. Again, I 1 communicated with Watts on a personal level. And he was 2 telling me about Sidney Wonderland, what a theme park it 3 4 was, and that it was being shut down, and he had a say 5 about Sidney Wonderland. Q. Now, um, you got access to Isabella's site, 6 7 "blea.ch," correct? 8 A. That's correct. Q. And on there she had documents and programs that she 9 10 had created, correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And that included Sigma? 13 A. Um, I don't recall if it had Sigma on it. And did she give you the access to that or did you 14 Q. hack that? 15 16 A. I don't recall. 17 Q. She maintained a site at "ble.ch.lind," do you 18 remember that site? "Blea.ch" --19 Α. 20 Q. -- ".ch/lind"? 21 It's something similar to that, but, yeah, I remember it, the "blea.ch." 22 23 She kept program files on that site, didn't she? 24

Um, I believe so.

Q. And you hacked that, too, didn't you?

Α.

```
Um, I don't believe I hacked it. Um, I believe I
 1
     actually might have got that information from one of her
 2
 3
     public websites that linked to that. I don't exactly
     recall how I got access to that.
 4
 5
                (Pause.)
                THE COURT: Mr. McGinty, I'm not trying to cut
 6
 7
     this off, but could you give me an estimate, though, of
8
     about how much longer you think you have with
     Mr. Hanshaw?
 9
10
                MR. McGINTY: I think not more than 10
11
     minutes.
12
                THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
13
     Q. Um, Mr. Hanshaw, at some point you pled quilty to a
14
     charge in federal court, am I right?
15
     A. Yes.
16
     Q. And the document was called an "information,"
17
     correct?
18
     A. Yes.
19
         But you understood the information to be the charge,
20
     am I right?
         Um, yes, it's what I allegedly did.
21
     Α.
         All right. And in the information you pled guilty
22
23
     to, um, computer fraud?
24
     A. No, I pled guilty to juvenile delinquency in
25
     relation to computer fraud.
```

- 1 Q. Okay. So you pled to -- you acknowledged
- 2 delinquency in connection with certain kinds of charges,
- 3 am I right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So among the charges that you had pled guilty to
- 6 were charges that related to computer fraud, am I right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Also wire fraud, am I correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, the wire fraud charges that you pled guilty to
- 11 related to a wire transmission from New Hampshire to
- 12 | Worcester to Seattle in connection with falsely-
- 13 reporting a violent crime, am I right?
- 14 A. I don't know where New Hampshire is?
- 15 Q. You were communicating by telephone call -- I'm
- 16 sorry.
- 17 A. I think you might be taking my initials.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry. I'm thinking "NH" is New Hampshire, but
- 19 "NH" is "Nathan Hanshaw"?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. This is a call from Worcester to Seattle that
- 22 | falsely reported a violent crime, am I right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. That was the hacking incident -- that was the
- 25 spoofing incident we talked about a short time ago?

```
1
     Α.
         Yes.
 2
         Um, there was a telephone call from you to Georgia
 3
     also reporting a swatting?
 4
     Α.
         Yes.
 5
         There was a use by you of a credit card for an
     on-line purchase of a Sony P3, or a PS-3, correct?
 6
 7
         That's correct.
8
     Q. And also you got a credit card for an on-line
 9
     purchase of a spoof card?
10
        Yes.
     Α.
11
     Q. And those were the four charges that related to wire
12
     fraud, am I right?
13
     A. Yes.
14
         And finally you had a charge of interstate threats,
15
     am I right?
16
     A. Yes.
17
                MR. McGINTY: Um, I'm going to move to admit
18
     the information.
19
                THE COURT:
                            Is there any objection?
20
                MR. BOOKBINDER:
                                  No.
                THE COURT: The next number is?
21
22
                THE CLERK: 34, Judge.
23
                THE COURT: It is admitted as Exhibit 34.
24
                (Exhibit 34, marked.)
25
     Q. Now, in exchange for your cooperation, you got a
```

recommendation to an 11-month committed sentence, am I 1 2 right? That's correct. 3 Α. 4 That packaged together all the instances of 5 swatting, right? Yes. 6 Α. 7 All the penetrations into ISPs' internal security 8 systems, correct? A. Yes. 9 10 Q. Your credit card violations, am I right? 11 A. Yes. 12 All in all a pretty sweet deal, would you say? 13 A. It was a good deal, but you have to remember that 14 juvenile charges are different from adult charges. 15 And, um, one of the things you were supposed to do 16 as a condition of your cooperation with the government 17 is not commit any further crimes, isn't that true? 18 Um, that's correct. Α. 19 You were stopped by police on December 30th, 2011, 20 were you not? A. I was. 21 22 Q. You were in a car not your own, am I right? 23 A. You're right. 24 When you were questioned by the police, what name

25

did you give?

```
Mike Grant.
 1
     Α.
 2
         Mike Grant. Is that your name?
 3
     Α.
         No.
 4
         You lied to them, didn't you?
 5
     Α.
         I did. I had an anxiety attack.
     Q. (Laughs.) I'm sorry. An anxiety attack
 6
 7
     precipitated you giving your name as Michael Grant?
8
     A. Yeah, it's on record, I have a medical condition of
     anxiety, I take medication for it, and even while I'm in
 9
10
     custody I take medication for it, and at the time I
11
     wasn't taking my medication, and I knew that -- um,
12
     after I did it, that I messed up and I gave them my real
13
     name.
14
     Q.
         And, um, you are currently in custody, correct?
15
     Α.
         Yes.
16
     Q. Serving a committed sentence for your violations?
17
     A. I am.
18
                MR. McGINTY: A moment, your Honor?
19
                THE COURT: Yes.
20
                (Pause.)
21
                MR. McGINTY: I have no further questions of
     this witness.
22
23
                THE COURT: Is there redirect?
24
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Just briefly, your Honor.
25
```

- REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BOOKBINDER:
- 2 Q. Mr. Hanshaw, Mr. McGinty asked you about, um,
- 3 whether you got modems from a variety of different
- 4 places. Do you remember those questions?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. And is it fair to say that you didn't actually buy
- 7 or get any physical modems from TCNISO?
- 8 A. I would say so.
- 9 Q. You got them from different sources out there?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And, um -- and then once you had them, did you
- 12 modify them?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And what software did you modify them with?
- 15 A. Sigma.
- 16 Q. The Sigma software, um, that you used, that you
- modified those modems with, where did you get that Sigma
- 18 | software?
- 19 A. The TCNISO website.
- 20 Q. It wasn't some hacked version of Sigma you got
- 21 somewhere else?
- 22 A. No, it was a legitimate version.
- 23 Q. In, um, the chat with Mr. Harris that Mr. McGinty
- 24 showed you, um, you had some discussion about work that
- 25 you were doing with Craig Phillips, do you remember

that? 1 2 A. Yes. 3 Can you describe what that was? 4 Um, Phillips, um, was expressing to me that it was 5 becoming increasingly harder to overcome Roadrunner security to get on-line for free and uncap and we were 6 7 -- and he asked me to assist him in trying to figure out 8 a way to do such. Q. Did you also help him in some way with the forums, 9 10 the TCNISO website forums? 11 A. Um, sometimes I would go on there and find links 12 that were set up that way, um, that would damage the 13 reputation of TCNISO or Phillips himself, and give him 14 the links back to when they were posted. 15 Q. Were there other kinds of matters -- well, let me strike that. 16 17 During the chats you had with Mr. Harris, did he 18 ever tell you to stop using his products? A. No. 19 20 MR. BOOKBINDER: No further questions. 21 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. McGINTY: 22 23 Q. When you talked about Max Fraud to the agents, you 24 were talking about having gotten modified modems from

25

them, did you not?

From Max Fraud? I don't recall if I got modified 1 Α. modems from them because Max Fraud was a, um --2 I'm asking you simply whether you told them that you 3 4 had gotten modified modems from Max Fraud? 5 Α. I don't recall. 6 MR. McGINTY: No further questions. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 Mr. Hanshaw, your testimony is complete. You're going to go out when the jury goes out. 9 10 May I see counsel at sidebar. 11 12 AT THE SIDEBAR 13 THE COURT: Okay. Once I decide the issues 14 with regard to the agents, how long do you think the 15 testimony of each of them will take? 16 MR. BOOKBINDER: I think Mr. Russell would be 17 45 minutes maybe. 18 MS. SEDKY: And 15 minutes for Mr. Ryan. 19 THE COURT: So about an hour. I'm trying to 20 decide whether I should keep the jury here. Because if 21 we're not going to finish today, then I'd be inclined to 22 send them home and just finish the last two witnesses 23 I've got some other things pressing on me. 24 Even if there's a chance we could finish today, I don't

think I'm going to have them back until Wednesday

anyway, because we have some serious Rule 29 issues and we need to talk about the jury instructions. So I think I'm going to send them home.

I want to raise some issues and I want to talk to my law clerks about one of them first, a most recent one, and so I'm going to send them home. We're going to resume at about quarter to 12:00 and I'll give you some guidance for tomorrow. Tomorrow we'll finish the witnesses and move into the other matters.

All right?

MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes.

13 (In open court.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, there are two more witnesses, um, but there are matters that I have to rule on relating to their testimony, some of which were only raised last night, and, um, I don't know whether we would -- um, I don't think we'd -- that I would be able to do that and finish both witnesses today, in any event, and you'll have to come back tomorrow. So if you don't mind, I'm going to send you home early today and you'll come back tomorrow at 9:00 and I expect we'll finish the evidence -- I expect, but I don't promise, and you'll probably also go home early, and then I'll have you back on Wednesday for the

```
arguments, my jury instructions, and to at least begin
 1
     your deliberations. So for planning purposes you should
 2
 3
     plan to be here Wednesday afternoon and then, if
 4
     necessary, continue your deliberations on Thursday.
 5
           It continues to be important that you keep an open
 6
     mind, don't discuss the case among yourselves or with
 7
     anybody else, don't read or watch or listen to anything
8
     about the case that may be in the media, don't
 9
     communicate about the case through any social media or
10
     on the internet, and keep up your great record of coming
11
     back promptly. I hope we'll start right at 9:00
12
     tomorrow, but if not, that's because we're working. All
13
     right?
14
           The Court is in recess for the jury.
                (Jury leaves, 11:20 a.m.)
15
16
                THE COURT: You may be seated.
17
           Mr. Hanshaw, you're excused. Thank you. We'll be
18
     in recess until about quarter to 12:00.
19
           The Court is in recess.
20
                (Recess, 11:20 a.m.)
21
                (Resumed, 12:15 p.m.)
22
                THE COURT: All right. Like most things, that
23
     took me longer than I had hoped. But I'll tell you my
24
     present thinking with regard to Exhibit 25 and Agent
25
     Ryan's proposed testimony. And this analysis is based
```

largely but not exclusively on discussion in *Milkowicz*, which is 470 F.3d 390 at 397, a case that I brought to the parties' attention several weeks ago before trial.

It's my current view that the GoDaddy, and other records, must be admissible before Special Agent Ryan can testify about them and before the proposed chart, that's been premarked as Exhibit 25, can be admitted under Rule 1006, as a summary, or under Rule 611(b) as a chalk. Authenticity is an element of admissibility.

The First Circuit wrote in *Milkowicz:* "Evidence admitted under Rule 1006 must be otherwise admissible and remain subject to usual objections under the Rules of Evidence." In 31 "Wright & Gold," as it's now known, Federal Practice and Procedure, Section 8043, the treatise states: "Most notably, Rule 1006 evidence normally is objectionable if the voluminous source of material on which it is based is admissible." "Even where 1006 evidence is relevant and authentic, the Court has the discretion to exclude the evidence where its probative value is substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice or other Rule 403 dangers."

In *Milkowicz*, the First Circuit wrote, at 397: "A summary chart used as a pedagogical device must be linked to evidence previously admitted and usually is not itself admitted into evidence." So that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Pause.)

communicates to me a kind of common-sense consideration that Mr. Ryan cannot give an oral summary of information or make calculations based on GoDaddy records, for example, unless they're admissible. If the evidence is admissible, it need not actually be admitted, as the First Circuit noted in Milkowicz at 397, also. It appears to me that the GoDaddy and other records -- if there are others in dispute, may be admissible as business records under Rule 8036, however it would be necessary for a custodian to appear and testify because as far as I know there was no certification of those records, those business records provided before trial, as required by Rule 902(b)(11). So in my current conception the government could call recordkeepers tomorrow and seek to establish the admissibility of the documents, but absent that I don't see how the -- I don't see a proper basis for letting Agent Ryan testify to the information in Exhibit 25 or show it to the jury. Do the parties want to be heard on that analysis? MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, could we just have a moment? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, in light of that

```
I think we will, um -- we will use the number that's on
 1
     the PayPal reference, that 750,000 figure that document
 2
 3
     is stipulated to, and we won't use Exhibit 25. And
 4
     we'll have to discuss how this may limit -- well, there
 5
     probably will be some brief testimony from Special Agent
     Ryan about that PayPal record. Um, I don't know whether
 6
 7
     there's anything else we'll do, but it will certainly
 8
     shorten his testimony, that wasn't going to be that long
     to start.
 9
10
                THE COURT: Okay. And I think that's what you
11
     were advocating, Mr. McGinty, right, reliance on the
12
     stipulated PayPal?
13
                MR. McGINTY: Yes, your Honor.
14
                THE COURT: Okay.
15
           Then let's -- well, who is going to seek to
16
     introduce the statements by Mr. T and MooreR?
17
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Those would be with Special
18
     Agent Russell.
19
                THE COURT:
                            Okay. Then perhaps it would make
20
     sense to go through specifically what your proposed --
21
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes, your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: Okay. Hold on just one second.
23
                (Pause.)
24
                THE COURT: Because I think, when I did my
25
     original analysis -- well, there are a couple of
```

things. I mean, the general principles, I think, are to conditionally admit it, but at this point I'm really not conditionally admitting it, it's the last witness and if there's not enough, then there's not enough. I'd have to, myself, be satisfied, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the statements were made in furtherance of a conspiracy with Mr. Harris. It doesn't necessarily have to be the conspiracy charged in the indictment, the cases that indicate that include Marino, 277 F.3d 11 at 26 to 26, in Amoratti, 996 F.2d 456 at 486 and Note 12, in Dworkin, 855 F.2d 12 at 24, but there has to be some conspiracy between Mr. Harris and the speaker and the statement has to be in furtherance of the conspiracy.

I can consider -- that there has to be some independent extrinsic evidence to corroborate -- well, to contribute to the conclusion that this statement is admissible under Rule 801(d)(2)(E) or at least that it's made by a member at a time when he was a member of the conspiracy.

So those are the general rules. To some extent, in my earlier analysis, I've relied on statements in the trial brief as to what the government, at that time, expected its evidence would be and the government, I think, told me before trial, after the trial brief, and as far as I know it reiterates today, that contrary to

what was in its trial brief, it has not presented 1 testimony by Phillips, Lindquist, or Hanshaw that Mr. T 2 is friends with the defendant, was a reseller of 3 4 TCNISO's equipment, and was a regular participant in the 5 company's website forums where activities relating to 6 the alleged conspiracy, like trading MAC addresses and 7 configuration files, were routinely carried out. 8 You will remind me if I've overlooked anything or basically I should disregard --9 10 Has there been any evidence on any of those 11 points? 12 MR. BOOKBINDER: No, your Honor, and that's why we said we would rely on Mr. Harris's own 13 14 statements. 15 THE COURT: All right. So that's helpful. 16 That clarifies that. 17 Then on Page 15 of the government's memorandum in 18 support of its motion in limine, Document 94, there is a 19 discussion of Mr. T's statements and then I think it 20 went on to the MooreR statements. 21 These become issues with Russell, correct? 22 MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes. 23 THE COURT: All right. As I understand it, 24 there's no objection to Exhibit 2, the website screen 25 shots.

```
Exhibit 3 -- I have a revised exhibit, I think,
 1
 2
     right?
 3
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes, your Honor, over the
 4
     weekend we revised it.
 5
                THE COURT: Right. And these are TCNISO
 6
     website forum indices.
 7
           And the defendant wants these excluded, correct?
 8
                MR. McGINTY: That's exactly right, your
     Honor.
 9
10
                MR. BOOKBINDER: My understanding is that he
11
     does not object to the first two pages, but does to the
12
     remaining.
13
                MR. McGINTY: That's correct. The entire
14
     exhibit is six pages. The first two, there's no
15
     objection, but we're objecting to Pages 3 through 6.
16
                THE COURT: All right. That's --
17
           Why does the government feel these are admissible?
18
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Well, your Honor, what we've
     done in redactions is we've -- in light of the Court's
19
20
     -- our past discussion about this, is we've taken out,
     um, what are assertions, someone saying, "I have this
21
22
     program" or "I have MACs that I'm looking to trade," for
23
     example, and what we've left are two categories of
24
     topics. And the first thing to point out are these are
25
     topic headings and if -- what the testimony would be is
```

if you click on one of these, then you get a string of posts about that topic. So these are topic headings. Some of them, though, as the Court pointed out, include statements and assertions of some kind. We've taken those out.

So what we have left here are general headings like the first one, "Cablevision," that's an ISP, um, and "Charter" is the next one, um, and "BPI Plus Cox," "Las Vegas Cox," is another ISP. And the next one, "Comcast MAC Trading." Again, these are topic headings. I suggest they're not assertions of one kind or another. And then some of them are questions like, down a couple of -- um, after the redaction is, "Anyone want to trade MACs?" Um, that's a question, it's not an assertion.

So I'd suggest those are admissible because they simply fall outside of hearsay. They are -- they're either a topic or they're a question and therefore are not admitted for the truth of any assertion made. And they're relevant, um, to -- to the way that the forum is operated, to what was on the topics that people were discussing, and to Mr. Harris's knowledge that people on there were -- um, that there were topic areas where people were asking or inquiring about trades and there were other topic areas that were organized by ISP, and

1 again those are --THE COURT: What is the evidence that 2 3 Mr. Harris would have seen these? 4 MR. BOOKBINDER: He is the -- well, we've had 5 testimony from both Mr. Phillips and Ms. Lindquist that Mr. Harris ran the website, controlled the website, in 6 fact we had some of the posts we went through with 7 8 Mr. Phillips, I believe, where someone is asking to get access to the website and it's -- and Mr. Phillips is 9 10 saying, "You need to activate this person," and 11 Mr. Harris does it, he says "Activate it." And there's 12 one of the chats, actually the first one with Mr. T, 13 where he says specifically that, "Can you add me to the 14 website member section?" and DerEngel says essentially 15 "Don't," ultimately "Here's your log in with your password, it's done." 16 17 So we've got several different forum testimony, 18 we've got chats, we also have the, um -- I believe this 19 is to go into the one GoDaddy record that is the sort of 20 subscriber information, I don't think there's an objection to that, I think it's Exhibit 31. It is 21 Exhibit 31. And that shows that the domain name, 22 23 "TCNISO.net," was billed to Ryan Harris.

THE COURT: And what are these documents relevant to?

24

1 MR. BOOKBINDER: The topic -- this portion of 2 Exhibit 3, your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. BOOKBINDER: They're relevant to two 5 things. First of all, to show that, again, on 6 Mr. Harris's website there are topics dedicated to 7 people asking about trading MACs --8 THE COURT: But I'm trying to sort this out because I have a growing sense of whether the conspiracy 9 10 charge is going to get to the jury and I'm skeptical, 11 although you'll get to argue it. Um, but if it's only 12 relevant to -- and I thought maybe this was relevant to 13 the interdependence aspect of proving the rim of the 14 alleged conspiracy, and if I'm satisfied that that's not 15 going to be proven -- I mean, that conspiracy is not 16 going to be proven or a conspiracy charged in the 17 indictment is not going to be proven, um, that it raises some interesting issues about the difference between a 18 19 variance and a constructive amendment. And I can give 20 you some more cases to read, although you're supposed to 21 be giving them to me. 22 Um, the -- is this relevant to the wire fraud 23 charge if there's no conspiracy charge? 24 MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, so I think you

understand what our assertion is, it's the conspiracy

```
charge and --
 1
 2
                THE COURT: Is that what it is really?
 3
                MR. BOOKBINDER: No. No. No.
                THE COURT: Well, what is your assertion on
 4
 5
     conspiracy?
 6
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Oh, sorry. As to the
 7
     conspiracy charge, yes, certainly there's -- well, it
8
     shows two things. One, it does show interdependence
     that people are asking each other about trading MAC
 9
10
     addresses, for example, they're posting to each other
11
     about different IPS. So that there's interdependence.
12
     There's also -- again it goes to Mr. Harris's knowledge
13
     and this, I'd suggest, is relevant both to the
14
     conspiracy and to wire fraud that he, um, clearly needs
15
     to at least know, um, and intend to assist his customers
     under both conspiracy and wire fraud.
16
17
                THE COURT: Well, for wire fraud -- you see, I
18
     think that the burden may be less on you for wire
19
             I've long thought that was, to be colloquial,
20
     your "cleaner shot," but --
21
                MR. BOOKBINDER: I suggest that that's true,
22
     your Honor. I agree.
23
                THE COURT: You know, if he had to have
24
     devised or participated in a scheme to defraud and had
     known or reasonably foreseen that the wires would be
25
```

used in executing the scheme -- although I don't expect I'm going to hear him testify that he had to know about a particular use -- you know, a use by a particular person if it was foreseeable. And there are cases on this.

MR. BOOKBINDER: Right.

THE COURT: So, you know, in exchanging MACs, it is evidence of a scheme, but it's not an essential element as it is to some extent on the conspiracy charge.

MR. BOOKBINDER: That's absolutely right, your Honor. But I would suggest it's still certainly relevant to what he intended the products to be used for and what his scheme was.

not hearsay because, whether they're true or not, they would be statements -- like "Anyone want to trade MACs," these questions are usually not hearsay, which would lead him to know or believe that people were using his devices in connection with traded MACs to steal internet service.

MR. BOOKBINDER: Absolutely. There's testimony from both Mr. Kohler and Mr. Brodfuehrer that there's no other reason to trade MACs and that this is evidence that people are -- it may not be dispositive,

but certainly asking and inquiring about MACs. I mean -- and the names of the ISPs is certainly relevant to the question of what people are doing with his products.

THE COURT: All right.

And, Mr. McGinty, what do you say?

MR. McGINTY: Your Honor, first of all, if we could just back up to the prior page. The way this is structured is that TCN has forums, the forums are set out on the first page which indicates what the topics are for the particular forum and who the moderators are for that forum. The 03-03 page, the first of the pages that we are objecting to is within the DOCSIS forum, which is the forum on Page 1, um, the fifth forum down. And so when a person gets on the DOCSIS forum, they can invite a conversation about the subject.

For example, I can invite a conversation about the subject -- I don't know, "Cablevision." There it is.

And the Cablevision heading is saying, in effect, "I will be talking about Cablevision." So the parenthetical there, the statement there is, "I will be talking about Cablevision," and parenthetically, "Is anybody else interested in talking about Cablevision?" The government says it's not admitted for the truth of the matter. The defense says that the topics are dedicated to people talking about -- they said MACs,

Cablevision, whatever the topic is. And a way of framing it that frames it exactly as we do, um, the statement of what the topic is is a simple declarative sentence of what the content is and it's being offered for the truth of the matter. Were it not that, the government wouldn't be offering this.

So the first topic here is "Cablevision" and the statement is "I will be talking about Cablevision," offered for the truth of the matter. Now, what's interesting about each of these is hearsay generally doesn't have a Trojan Horse component to it, but this does. So with Cablevision, um, you look to the right and see that no one was interested in replying to "Cablevision." So the tree fell in the woods and no one heard about it. So the first topic "Cablevision," um, evoked nothing but silence. Apparently there were 19 views, people looked at it and went (Yawns.) and nobody contributed to the subject.

If we move down, the fourth one that's here,

"Comcast MAC trades," the simple sentence is, "I will be

talking about MAC trades," and the Trojan Horse

component of this is, if we go to "Replies," 27, if we

goes to "Views," 5136, the back door effect of this is

the -- the, um, sort of the tail wagging the dog, of

there were 5136 people who were interested in the

subject and there were 27 people who actually commented on it.

So I don't know how this is not offered for the truth of the matter. I would note that the moderator is not Harris. Um, I would note that the creation of the topic is the creation of the individual who suggests the topic and begins that thread. So with "Comcast," it would be "Routy," a person we don't know anything about. So "Routy" comes on there and says, "I want to talk about Comcast MAC trades" --

THE COURT: Where do you see that?

MR. McGINTY: So it's "Comcast MAC trade,"

then right under it it says "By Routy."

THE COURT: Oh, I see. Yes. Yes.

MR. McGINTY: So "By Routy."

So apparently Routy, interested in talking about this, um, posts a header, the header is "Comcast MAC trade," and he invites a string of comment, "Does anyone want to talk about this?" He gets it started. It's under the egis of the moderator, but the moderator is the moderator of the forum which is now conclusive of a potential string chat created by that Routy fellow. And Routy's chat, his conversation then, either germinates other things or, as with "Cablevision," germinates nothing.

So the "Comcast MAC trades" is a combination of the obvious statement, that "I am you talking about Comcast MAC trades," as well as 5136 people who are interested in that topic. So Harris wasn't the moderator, Phillips never said that he saw this at any time, that he could verify "This is the way it looked." At the time this is offered and we look now to these --

limits on time, that's enough, but at the moment -- and I'll give you more definitive answers tomorrow, but at the moment I'm inclined to exclude this.

There's general jurisprudence that the question,
"Does anyone want to trade MACs?" is not hearsay. I
understand that. But even to the extent that that's
true, I think this little -- I'd have to give the jury
an instruction that none of this is offered for the
truth as to whether anybody was trading MACs, it's for
Mr. Harris's knowledge and belief. He's not the
moderator of this. The primary probative value on this
would be to show the interdependence of the named
co-conspirators and at the moment -- although I'm
interested in hearing from you, I'm leaning towards
granting a Rule 29 motion on the conspiracy -- the
conspiracy charged in the indictment, I'm inclined to
find the jury could not find beyond a reasonable doubt,

um, with regard to anybody in Massachusetts.

If Hanshaw, given, you know, the testimony of Phillips, for example, saying that Harris said he was an idiot and Hanshaw's own testimony about how he kept getting kicked out, um, shows -- you know, would permit a finding that he intended to conspire, but I think it's not sufficient to prove beyond a reasonable doubt, even on view in the light most favorable to the government, that Mr. Harris agreed with Hanshaw to engage in wire fraud.

With regard to Madeira, for some reason his motive didn't require trading MACs, and the government didn't ask him any questions, that I can recall, about his frame of mind, which *Portella* makes important. You know, you have to be able to prove beyond a reasonable doubt to prove that he was in a conspiracy with the others in Massachusetts, as well as Harris, that he thought that the success of a venture by him, or his success in the venture, depended on the activities of others in addition to Mr. Harris. That's my current conception.

And Larosa didn't trade MACs. He drove from Dorchester to Roxbury to get his.

But interestingly, you know, it's possible you could prove or -- nevertheless prove a conspiracy with

Mr. Harris, you know, you would say one of them, Larosa or -- well, I don't know that there's enough to prove a conspiracy with either of them because I don't think there's any showing that Mr. Harris knew of their existence. He knew of Hanshaw's existence, but he wouldn't let him on the team. So it doesn't appear he can be proven to -- that he intended to agree with Larosa or with Madeira.

Then usually you get a variance, an impermissible or a prejudicial variance, one that's not permissible if something expands a conspiracy, it doesn't contract it. So I've been giving some thought to, um, whether, you know, a conspiracy between Lindquist, Phillips, and Harris, for example, could be prosecuted in Massachusetts and I know the FBI, I think in 2008, purchased something that was sent to Massachusetts, but by that time Phillips and Lindquist were out of the conspiracy. Unless I'm -- so that's my present thoughts about the conspiracy, why I'm analyzing most of this evidence on its probative value to the wire fraud charges, which doesn't require proof of the trading of MACs.

Is there other evidence that the jury's already heard about the trading of MACs?

MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, yes, your Honor,

Mr. Phillips testified that he traded MACs, um, as did 1 2 Mr. Hanshaw, and I, um --3 THE COURT: Well, let me ask -- put the question more precisely. 4 Is there evidence that Mr. Harris -- did Phillips 5 provide evidence that Harris knew that MACs were being 6 7 traded? 8 MR. BOOKBINDER: Well, um, your Honor, there is Harris's own post, which it's worth noting -- and I 9 10 understand the Court's inclination on this Exhibit 3, 11 but, um, in that same DOCSIS subheading that this topic 12 list comes from, there is the --THE COURT: Which exhibit? 13 14 MR. BOOKBINDER: So in Exhibit 3, these set of topics that we were just talking about is within the 15 16 bigger heading "DOCSIS," D-O-C-S-I-S, and Mr. McGinty 17 properly points out that Mr. Harris is not a moderator 18 of that particular portion of the forums. However, he 19 did post there. 20 THE COURT: Where is that? 21 MR. BOOKBINDER: If you look at Exhibit 22, 22 you can see from the front page of Exhibit 22, which 23 just has the headings. 24 THE COURT: So this one's going to come in.

But actually, you see, this one, in my conception,

25

```
weighs against admitting Exhibit 3, not in favor,
 1
     because the marginal probative value is diminished by
 2
 3
     the much more direct admissible evidence. He knows that
 4
     these are being used to trade MACs, he says, "I'm
 5
     checking up on something for a friend. Does anyone have
 6
     any verified MAC addresses and/or config files for" --
 7
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Correct.
 8
                THE COURT: So you should proceed on the
     assumption that Exhibit 3 is out.
 9
10
                (Pause.)
11
                THE COURT: Exhibit 4, the GoDaddy records.
12
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, we're not
13
     offering Exhibit 4.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. So that's withdrawn.
15
                (Pause.)
16
                THE COURT: The GoDaddy ads on the Superbowl
17
     were so interesting that I was looking forward to seeing
18
     the custodian of the records.
                MR. BOOKBINDER: I believe Danica Patrick's
19
20
     busy this week, so I don't think she could be here, but
21
22
                THE COURT: Well, I don't know who that is,
     but I'm sure it's connected.
23
24
           Okay. Exhibit 7, no objection. Exhibit 13, no
25
     objection. Exhibit 14 -- Exhibit 14.
```

```
MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, we're not going
 1
 2
     to be using Exhibit 14.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay, so that's withdrawn.
 4
           Exhibit 16, no objection. Exhibit 17. Now it's
 5
     Mr. T as to which Petrozziello rulings -- so here,
 6
     essentially I have to look and see whether the DerEngel
 7
     statements sufficiently add to Mr. T's statements to
8
     persuade me, by a preponderance, that Mr. T was a
     co-conspirator.
 9
10
           That's the analytical framework, right?
11
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes, your Honor. And in
12
     addition to the ones that are actually left in this
13
     exhibit, we'd be talking about the additional statements
14
     set down on Page 15 and 16 of our motion -- in support
15
     of our motion in limine.
16
                THE COURT: All right.
17
                (Pause.)
18
                THE COURT: So okay. 16, let me get your
     motion, because I've done these before. It's on Page
19
20
     15.
21
           All right. So Exhibit 17 is where in the original
     motion in limine?
22
23
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Pages 15 and 16, your Honor.
24
                THE COURT: Pages 15 and 16.
25
                (Pause.)
```

THE COURT: Well, "Can you add me to the website" -- all right. Well, here, give me the argument, please, I know I've done this once before, but on Exhibit 17. What is it --

MR. BOOKBINDER: Sure, your Honor.

THE COURT: Remind me of the overall evidence that persuades me, by a preponderance, or that should persuade me that here in 2005 Mr. T was in a conspiracy with Harris and these statements were made in furtherance of it.

MR. BOOKBINDER: Okay. Your Honor, if you look at the chats that are on page -- particularly the ones on Page 16 of our motion in limine, essentially what's happening here is that Mr. T is asking Harris, "I'm wondering if you can give me commissions if I -- I wonder if you could give me a commission or something like that if I get some people to uncap using TCNISO software/hardware?" And Harris responds in that initial, um, chat, "I'm sure we can work something out." And then in the second one, again, Mr. T says, "If you could arrange something, if I could refer people to you," well, how would the mechanics work, essentially? And Harris says, "Just refer them, you'll need to give me the order numbers," um, and the next -- THE COURT: Well, maybe I wrote this wrong, or

```
I read this wrong, but --
 1
 2
           Is this on Page 16 of your motion?
 3
                MR. BOOKBINDER: It is, I'm looking at that
           I want to make sure you're looking at the right
 4
 5
     one.
           It's the memorandum in support of our motion in
 6
     limine.
 7
                THE COURT: Yes, it's Number 094. Because I
8
     thought, on February 8th, you told me that this was
     withdrawn. Maybe I --
 9
10
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Well, we're not seeking to
11
     introduce --
12
                THE COURT: Oh, but you want me to rely on
13
     them?
14
                MR. BOOKBINDER: We want you to rely on them
15
16
                THE COURT: And I can.
17
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes. It's also worth noting,
18
     your Honor, that it may be the case that for the three
19
     excerpts that we're actually offering, um, there are
20
     questions that Mr. T is asking to Harris. So it may not
     even be necessary to make a Petrozziello ruling and
21
22
     maybe we should start there.
23
                THE COURT: All right. So the three are what
24
     numbers, 17?
25
                MR. BOOKBINDER: It's Exhibit 17.
```

THE COURT: So what's the next one? 1 MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, they're all Exhibit 17. 2 3 THE COURT: Oh, you're talking about the statements in 17? 4 5 MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes. THE COURT: All right. 6 7 And, Mr. McGinty, do you want to be heard? Why 8 shouldn't I -- it wouldn't be the conspiracy charged in the indictment, in my present conception, but as I said, 9 10 it can be any conspiracy, according to Marino and 11 Amorrati or from Count Dairy Silva, you don't even have 12 to have a conspiracy charged in the indictment. 13 MR. McGINTY: Well, the government formerly 14 had suggested that it would be some, um, dimension to 15 Mr. T, right now he is only a name, we know nothing 16 about him, we don't know whether he's got any 17 acquaintance with anyone. The part that is not being 18 admitted here for which the government suggests provides some context in this is an offer to do something by 19 20 someone who is unknown, and the probity of that, um, doesn't seem very high. The part they're trying to 21 22 glean goes like this --23 THE COURT: Well, the real name isn't known, 24 but, as I said, I think back on February 8th, I don't 25 think that's at all fatal.

Go ahead.

MR. McGINTY: Well, the Court ruled that it's not fatal to the admissibility, but for the jury to evaluate this and for it not to have a 403 effect, um, there has to be some dimension to Mr. T so that they understand what the nature of his contribution to the evidence is.

Now, the part that the government is offering on 1701, um, is a question for Mr. T. If you take out the question, um, the Harris contribution is, "Yeah, what's your pass, done, login," and the name of the login. In other words, um, this provides, I suppose, some access to, um, a -- to the forums of TCNISO. There's nothing that is indicative of a conspiracy in letting a person into the forums. So I don't know what that's probative of.

The second page, um, the Court had considered this before and reserved judgment on it because -- I mean, again, in order for this thing to be meaningful, it has to be some sort of a conspiratorial agreement in part, and it's not necessarily a charge on there with some other conspiracy, and this isn't that.

THE COURT: Well, I think it is. Um, this I'm admitting. I'm persuaded, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Mr. T and Mr. Harris were in a

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conspiracy, but not the conspiracy necessarily charged in Count 1, at the time this statement was made and these were statements in furtherance of it.

So as I think I said on February 8th, I've relied in part on the chat log from March 31, 2005 showing Harris gave Mr. T access to the forum, a chat log from April 20, 2005, which has not been admitted, showing Mr. T solicited commissions from the defendant finding people to buy modems and Sigma licenses on TCNISO.net, a chat log from July 10, 2005, which has not been admitted, where the defendant told Mr. T that he was sending him \$50 versus PayPal chat logs from March 31 and from April 18, 2005, which the government does not seem to admit, showing Mr. T has been prosecuted for theft of services, and additional portions of the chat log are part of a chat log from April 20, 2005, which the government doesn't seek to admit, where Mr. T asked the defendant if he got feedback on whether Sigma was working for users in Quebec, so he could be sure that they'll work with him. Um, also a chat log from April 20, 2005, which is not admitted, where Mr. T asked the defendant whether people with Sigma can get on line with MAC cloning or with a legitimate address.

So those are -- then there's independent nonhearsay corroborating that those statements are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

admissible under 801(d)(2)(E), as required by **Portella**, 167 F.3d at 703, and **Padro Burgos**, 239 F.3d at 75 to 76. And some of them are right here in Exhibit 17. Mr. T is asking for a commission for selling the defendant's products and the defendant says, "I'm sure we can work something out." This is the defendant's own statements. Generally, in the chat logs, it indicates that Mr. T was acting as a broker for TCNISO and seeking -- and there's also abundant evidence in the case that the products, the modems sold by TCNISO, the software, were designed to be used to steal internet service, either to get it for free or to get premium uncapped service without paying for it. And while there are many statements of Mr. Harris's, and I haven't recited them all, but they persuade me, by a preponderance, that Mr. T is a co-conspirator.

So what's the next one?

MR. McGINTY: If I might? The Court is suggesting a finding that this was built -- that this software was for a singular purpose. Um, I respectfully submit that the evidence is to the contrary.

THE COURT: All right, but I'm not telling the jury this. I find that this software and hardware was built to steel internet service. That's what I'm finding for present purposes, for *Petrozziello* purposes.

```
All right. What's the next exhibit that I need to
 1
 2
     rule on?
 3
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, I don't believe
     that -- well, Exhibits 18 and 19 are just Harris's
 4
 5
     statements. I don't know whether there's any objection
     to those.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: I think there is. Yeah, there
8
     were objections under 403 and 404(b), but these are
     intrinsic, as we've discussed before. So in 18,
 9
10
     Mr. Harris says: "On September 13th, 2005, I created
11
     the entire cable modem hacking scene." That's a
12
     statement within the period of the conspiracy, it's an
     admission, it's admissible under Rule 801(d)(2)(A). So
13
     that's admitted. It doesn't even have to be within the
14
15
     period of the conspiracy, but it is. But that's not
16
     what I'm relying on because I can't see who is making
17
     the statement. Okay?
18
           What's the next one?
19
                MR. BOOKBINDER: 19, your Honor.
20
                THE COURT: This is a chat with "X-Factor"?
21
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes, but again it's just
     Harris's statement.
22
23
                THE COURT: All right. So you're not asking
24
     me to make a Petrozziello ruling on X-Factor?
25
                MR. BOOKBINDER: No.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. This is June 21, 2005 and
 1
 2
     saying what he makes on advertising. So that's
 3
     admissible, also. That's a statement of a party
 4
     opponent.
           What's the next one?
 5
                MR. BOOKBINDER: 20.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: And Rule 403 doesn't exclude it.
8
                MR. BOOKBINDER: This is a chat with somebody
     by the name "Shaqqy," but again, um, it's Harris's
 9
10
     statement. There is a question in the middle of it from
11
     Shaggy, but we're not asking for any Petrozziello ruling
12
     here.
13
                THE COURT: DerEngel says: "I love Sigma, 230
14
     KB upload consistent." He's asked, "What did you do,
     flash the firmware on the modem at the hotel?" And the
15
16
     answer is, "I'm at Craig's now using his spare uncapped
17
     modem on RR."
18
           "RR" is an ISP?
19
                MR. BOOKBINDER: "Roadrunner," yes.
20
                THE COURT: So the relevance of this is
     showing, "I'm using an uncapped modem." He knows.
21
22
     Okay, that's admissible.
23
                MR. McGINTY: And, your Honor, does that
24
     include the statement there of Shaggy, "What did you do,
25
     flash the firmware or modem at the hotel?" That's
```

```
unresponded to.
 1
                THE COURT: Well, that's not hearsay because
 2
 3
     it's a question, um, but is there any problem redacting
 4
     the question?
 5
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, a minute, your Honor.
 6
                THE COURT: Because I think Mr. McGinty is
 7
     right, but the part you're interested in doesn't seem to
8
     be responsive to the question.
 9
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Well, actually I think it
10
         If the question is, "What did you do, flash the
11
     firmware on the modem at the hotel?" The answer is "I'm
12
     impressed, " so --
13
                THE COURT: Well, it's a question and there
14
     are cases -- that I don't have on the top of my tongue
15
     right now, that indicate that questions are not hearsay.
16
                MR. McGINTY: Right, but it's a 403
17
     consideration.
18
                THE COURT: Given the way this -- no, the
19
     probative value of this -- it didn't have any context to
20
     make it intelligible. It's not substantially outweighed
     by any risk of unfair prejudice.
21
           So what's next?
22
23
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Exhibit 21, your Honor.
24
                            This is MooreR?
                THE COURT:
25
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes. And here, just to be
```

clear, um, there is a lot in this exhibit that's in here because the defense has asked for it, um, and I can highlight for -- including the first two pages and then a significant portion of the third page, too, and I can highlight for the Court what we'd actually be using, but maybe it makes sense for Mr. McGinty to articulate his objection. Whatever is easier. The whole thing would come in as the exhibit, so I guess Mr. McGinty -
THE COURT: Is the principal objection that it's not co-conspirator hearsay or what is it?

MR. McGINTY: It is that it's not

co-conspirator hearsay, your Honor.

This is a conversation where a fellow named MooreR, um, again we're in the same situation where we don't have any content of who this person is. The Phillips testimony was that the person who had designed the software, um, was "L3X," it was not this "MooreR." The probative offering here, from the government, is that this is a contribution from MooreR. So there's a representation — there's, in effect, a statement here offered that there's software being provided by MooreR when the testimony that the government has offered by Mr. Phillips is to the contrary.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Say that again?

MR. McGINTY: In other words, we're talking

```
here about an application that supposedly is from MooreR
 1
     and he's offering it. The testimony of Mr. Phillips was
 2
 3
     that it came from some other person.
 4
                THE COURT: What came from some other person?
 5
                MR. McGINTY: The software, your Honor, the,
     um, CoaxThief software.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: All right. So is Exhibit 21 where
8
     MooreR -- is where the defendant refers to MooreR's role
     in designing CoaxThief?
 9
10
                MR. BOOKBINDER: That's right, your Honor.
11
     mean -- I think Mr. McGinty may be right, that I, off
12
     the top of my head, remember that Mr. Phillips was
13
     asked, "Who designed CoaxThief?" and then he may have
     said it was somebody else. But this chart makes it very
14
15
     clear. There's no question. There's this back and
16
     forth conversation between the defendant and MooreR and
17
     the defendant talks about how much he likes the sniffer
18
     that MooreR designed.
19
                THE COURT: Where is that?
20
                MR. BOOKBINDER: That is on Page 3, about the
21
     middle, it's 5:43:08 p.m. MooreR says, "So you'd like"
22
23
                            I'm sorry.
                                        What is it?
                THE COURT:
24
                MR. BOOKBINDER: I'm sorry. It's 543.
25
                THE COURT:
                            5:43.
```

```
MR. BOOKBINDER: And it may be -- this is also
 1
 2
     in our memo, your Honor, if it's easier for you to find
 3
     and look at.
 4
                THE COURT: All right. I've got it.
 5
                MR. BOOKBINDER: MooreR says, "You like the
     sniffer?" Harris says, "It's very well designed.
 6
 7
     the gooey," which is the interface with the user.
8
     then Harris goes on to say, "Did you decide on a name
     yet?" MooreR says, "No, I've been away, but "CoaxThief
 9
10
     Sniffer" or "Thief" is pretty promising," and then
11
     there's some back and forth dialogue.
12
                THE COURT: And where is that?
13
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Again, this is just
14
     continuing to read.
15
                THE COURT: All right. I see.
16
     MooreR's statement. And Harris is asking him how it
17
     works and he said, "Look, the CoaxThief would be a cool
18
     act to put on the website."
19
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yeah. I mean, I think it's
20
     fairly clear from what I've --
21
                THE COURT: Yeah, I'm persuaded that there is
     a conspiracy by the preponderance of the evidence.
22
23
     Basically I have the defendant's statements and the chat
24
     logs referencing MooreR's role in designing CoaxThief,
25
     evidence that CoaxThief is MAC-changing software, which
```

```
I understand the defendant and MooreR are discussing in
 1
     the chat logs as being capable of being used to steal
 2
 3
     services, to uncap services and, in fact, that's what
 4
     they intended to be used for.
 5
           All right. So that one is admissible. That one
 6
     being 21.
 7
           Then 22, 23 and 24 are posts, right?
8
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Correct.
                THE COURT: Is the government still hoping to
 9
10
     use them?
11
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Well, certainly 22, Pages 1
12
     and 2.
                THE COURT: Which one?
13
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Exhibit 22.
14
15
                THE COURT: Okay. This is DerEngel saying,
16
     "I'm checking up on something for a friend. Does
17
     anybody have any verified MAC addresses or any config
     files?"
18
19
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Right.
20
                THE COURT: Okay, that's admissible.
21
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Page 3 is posts by users and
     we've talked about this, whether these people are
22
23
     co-conspirators or not, um, and the first one is a
24
     question --
25
                THE COURT: Page what?
```

```
MR. BOOKBINDER: Page 3 of Exhibit 22.
 1
 2
                THE COURT: At the moment I want to get -- oh,
 3
     I'm sorry, yeah, Exhibit 22? Oh, no, I've got it.
 4
     Consider those out.
 5
                MR. BOOKBINDER:
                                 Yes.
                THE COURT: I mean, the moderator is somebody
 6
 7
     other than the defendant. You're not offering them for
8
     the truth. I'd have to give a limiting instruction.
     You've got them on a previous page demonstrating that he
 9
10
     has knowledge that MAC addresses are being exchanged.
11
     The marginal probative value of this and the potential
12
     for confusion with a limiting instruction cause 403
13
     problems and I will exclude them.
14
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, Exhibit 23, we
15
     are withdrawing.
16
                THE COURT:
                            Right.
17
                MR. BOOKBINDER: And that gets us to 24, which
18
     for some reason -- um, yeah, I think that --
19
                THE COURT:
                            24. Do you have it as -- on Page
     2, Post 5 is the defendant?
20
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yeah. I can look at it right
21
22
     here, your Honor, on the computer. I apologize.
23
     some reason my copy is missing it.
24
                (Looks.)
25
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes, Page 2 is opposed by the
```

```
defendant, so obviously we would be seeking to use
 1
     that. The remaining portions, I think, are -- the Court
 2
     will be -- I'm sorry. Page 5.
 3
 4
                THE COURT: Okay, let's do this. Page 2 is
 5
     in, it's the defendant's own statement. 3 and 4 are
     out. They would come in as co-conspirator statements
 6
 7
     and that's not proven.
 8
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Right.
                THE COURT: Page 5?
 9
10
                MR. BOOKBINDER: The defendant's statement
11
     again.
12
                THE COURT: The defendant's statement. Do you
13
     want it?
14
                MR. BOOKBINDER: I, for now, um, yes, your
15
     Honor, we'll decide whether we're still using that.
16
                THE COURT: All right. Well, that comes in.
17
           And I think that's the end of the issues, right?
18
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes.
19
                THE COURT: So you win some, you lose some.
20
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, your Honor, there's maybe
     -- I don't know if there's an objection to Exhibit 28.
21
22
     There may be.
23
                (Pause.)
24
                MR. BOOKBINDER: It's a private message
25
     written by Harris, but I don't know if --
```

```
THE COURT: Yeah, this is where he expresses
 1
 2
     his outrage that people were stealing his stuff.
 3
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Among other things, your
 4
     Honor.
 5
                THE COURT: Well, this is the defendant's own
     statement. What's the date? Is it 9-21, 2010?
 6
 7
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, your Honor, I can't tell
     right now. I could ask the agent. Whether that's the
8
     download -- whether that's the date that this website
 9
10
     was downloaded or whether that's the date of the post?
11
     I'm not sure. I can find the answer to that.
12
                THE COURT: All right. And while you're doing
13
     that --
                (Pause.)
14
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, Special Agent
15
16
     Russell tells me that's the date that he created the
17
     report, that's not the date of the post. At least as
18
     it's set out here, we don't have a date and I would
19
     suggest it doesn't matter given the subject matter of
20
     the post, except that it was before.
21
                THE COURT: Well, it depends on whether --
22
     what's that?
23
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, the, um -- that
24
     given that particularly if it's not admitted in the
25
     context of the conspiracy, to the extent the defendant
```

```
is making these statements about products that are the
 1
     subject of fraud charges, um, I'm not sure that it makes
 2
     much of a difference what the date is. We may be able
 3
 4
     to find that if that's important.
 5
                THE COURT: I think the date is -- well,
 6
     anyway. Go ahead.
 7
                MR. McGINTY: Um, we don't know what the
8
     origin of this was. The assumption is that this came
     from the GoDaddy records. Um, I don't know what the
 9
10
     government is --
11
                THE COURT: Well, where did it come from?
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, your Honor, if I could
12
13
     just have a minute again?
14
                (Pause.)
15
                MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, yes, that did
16
     come from the records that were obtained by the search
17
     warrant at GoDaddy. But I'd suggest, your Honor, that
18
     this isn't a business record, that this is a statement
19
     of the defendant. Mr. Russell can testify certainly as
20
     to how he got it. A search warrant on GoDaddy was
     given, their contents relating to this website. And
21
22
     this was among -- um, you know, Mr. McGinty can
23
     certainly cross-examine and argue that it may have been
24
     altered at some point, but we're not here in --
25
                THE COURT: Well, I don't know. I mean, I
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think the document has to be admissible. The fact that it was obtained in the search warrant doesn't necessarily make it admissible. MR. BOOKBINDER: Right. THE COURT: You have to prove that at least -you have to prove to me, by a preponderance of the evidence, I think, for Rule 801(d)(2)(A) purposes, that he made the statement. MR. BOOKBINDER: Yes, your Honor, and we can do that. Mr. Russell will explain that he has reviewed -- um, that he has reviewed the records of the private messages, that the author ID number there, both the author ID and the user ID are 86, which is listed in the records as being DerEngel's, Mr. Harris's. THE COURT: Yeah, but this is the same thing, that unless the records are admissible in some fashion, then you can't rely on the records. MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, he can also testify, your Honor, that he reviewed that he did searches for those user IDs himself and what came back is that every post

related to these user IDs -
THE COURT: At the moment this is excluded,
but I'll think about it more.

MR. BOOKBINDER: Okay.

THE COURT: And then I think the late exhibit

was 32.

Is there any problem with 32?

MR. BOOKBINDER: Um, your Honor, we're not offering 32. That was something with Motorola.

THE COURT: All right. But at the moment, my thinking about 28, to go back, is, um, you know, the mere fact that it was obtained in a search warrant, um, doesn't mean it's not hearsay, and then you have to fit it into some exception and the exception you want to fit it into is it's a statement by Harris. If you want this -- well, sleep on it. If you want it, I'll do a voir dire of the witness before the jurors come in tomorrow morning. Okay?

MR. BOOKBINDER: We'll think about that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Another thing. So the jurors are going to -- we're going to finish with the jury tomorrow morning, we'll take a break, and I'll address the Rule 29 motion. But I don't, at the moment -- I'm not, at the moment, as I told you, inclined to feel there's sufficient evidence to prove the exact conspiracy charged in the indictment beyond a reasonable doubt, using the standards I used in *DiMasi*. There's enough evidence to prove a narrower conspiracy, certainly between Lindquist, Phillips and Harris.

Mr. T -- well, those three. But I don't think you can get that one into Massachusetts.

And you might want to look at, in terms of variance, *Mubayid*, 658 F.3d 35 at 48. *Muffleman*, 470 F.3d 33 at 39. And there are other cases.

You know, it's possible that there's some theory on which the conspiracy charge would be saved. It would invite very complicated instructions and interesting compelling issues. But the wire fraud, at the moment, strikes me as a straighter shot. The government should think about how hard it wants to argue to save the conspiracy charge. The evidence just -- it happens in cases, it just doesn't develop the way you expect.

MR. BOOKBINDER: Your Honor, whatever the Court's decision is on the conspiracy at this point, certainly in light of the issues, um, we want to make sure that if there is not a conspiracy charge going to the jury, then there is no **Pinkerton** instruction.

THE COURT: Oh, there would not be a **Pinkerton** instruction.

And one of the things I want to talk to you tomorrow about is, um -- and we haven't researched this point, but I've had this discussion before, that if we had a *Pinkerton* charge, you'd have to prove the conspiracy charged in Count 1, that it's not like

co-conspirator hearsay where you'd include some other conspiracy. Um, that's my view.

You should also think about whether you want an aiding and abetting charge, which the way this has come in, I expect I'm going to instruct, you know, that if Mr. Harris is guilty, he's proved beyond a reasonable doubt to have devised or participated in a scheme, um, to -- you know, with intent to defraud, um, and/or using false representations like, I guess, the MAC addresses -- and I will talk about the MAC addresses, but you've argued that, and, um, he knew or could reasonably foresee that the wires would be used in furtherance of the scheme.

So, you know, he's the creator, you're going to argue, he devised the scheme, um, he wanted to get for people free internet service, and, you know, even if he didn't know about Larosa and Madeira, or certainly Hanshaw, um, he would foresee that people would use, you know, the devices he distributed as part of the scheme to steal internet service.

And on the aiding and abetting? I mean, it's always there -- and I use the standard charge that sometimes you can aid and abet somebody you didn't know, but again, not having thought this all the way through, I don't know how you can get a conviction on aiding and

```
abetting and not as a principal. I don't know what --
1
 2
     and on the instructions as I'm inclined to give them
 3
     now. But we'll discuss this all tomorrow. It's just
 4
     more for you to think about.
           All right. The Court is in recess.
 5
 6
                (Adjourned, 1:30 p.m.)
 7
8
                      CERTIFICATE
 9
10
           I, RICHARD H. ROMANOW, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, do
11
     hereby certify that the forgoing transcript of the
12
     record is a true and accurate transcription of my
     stenographic notes, before Chief Judge Mark L. Wolf, on
13
     Monday, February 27, 2012, to the best of my skill and
14
15
     ability.
16
17
18
19
     /s/ Richard H. Romanow 11-07-12
     RICHARD H. ROMANOW Date
20
21
22
23
24
25
```